Syntheses of Chroman-2-ones and α -Amino Acids through a Diastereoselective Domino Reaction

D. Balaji Chandrasekhar, Shwu-Chen Tsay, Tapan K. Pradhan, and Jih Ru Hwu*

Department of Chemistry and Frontier Research Center on Fundamental & Applied Sciences of Matters, National Tsing Hua University, Hsinchu 30013, Taiwan

Supporting Information

ABSTRACT: Many 3-aminochroman-2-ones and $\beta_{,\beta}$ -diarylalanines exhibit significant biological activities. A new method was thus developed for the syntheses of these compounds with high efficiency and diastereoselectivity. First, treatment of various phenols with Erlenmeyer–Plochl (*Z*)-azlactones and AlCl₃ in toluene produced the desired *cis*-3-aminochroman-2ones in 65–90% yields under kinetic control. This coupling



reaction involved a domino process of Friedel–Crafts alkylation, 1,4-AlCl₃ shift, transesterification, and protodealumination in a "single-flask." The corresponding products, however, were not generated by replacement of AlCl₃ with a protonic acid. Second, hydrolysis of the resultant 3-amino-4-arylchroman-2-ones by NaHCO₃ in a mixture of THF and water gave α -(*N*-benzoyl)amino acids. Further deprotection of these isolated compounds by use of hydrochloric acid (12 N) in methanol afforded the desired free amino acids in 80–88% yields. Under these optimized conditions, epimerization did not occur at the α carbons of α -(*N*-benzoyl)- and free α -amino acids. These new findings provide a convenient way to generate 3,4-disubtituted chroman-2-ones and β , β -diarylalanine derivatives with very high stereoselectivity.

INTRODUCTION

Chroman-2-one (1) is a heterobicyclic compound, which possesses a benzene ring fused at the $C_{\gamma}-C_{\delta}$ bond of a δ -valerolactone. It is also referred to as dihydrocoumarin (cf. the structure of coumarin (2)). Many synthetic and some natural chroman-2-one derivatives with substituents at the C-3 or the C-4 position or both exhibit a broad range of biological activities or display pharmacological properties.¹ They include antiherpetic,² anti-inflammatory³ antileishmanial,⁴ antioxida-tive,⁵ estrogenic,⁶ pro-apoptotic, and cyto-differentiating⁷ activities. Some chroman-2-one derivatives are found effective for anticolon/rectal cancer,⁸ disruption of epigenetic process,⁹ and increment of p53 tumor suppressor protein acetylation.⁹ Certain compounds in this family function as inhibitors of bovine lens aldose reductase,¹⁰ human Sir2 family deactylase (SIRT1 and SIRT2),⁹ and protein transacetylase.¹¹

More examples that are appealing are C-3 amino-substituted chroman-2-ones, which exhibit intriguing biological activities. They include antihypertensive activity reported by Reinhold,¹² inhibitor of Temoneria β -lactamase reported by Wakselman¹³ and inhibitor of platelet aggregation reported by Rico,¹⁴ Bovy,¹⁵ and their co-workers.



Chroman-2-one derivatives are of great popularity in flavor industry and manufactured for used as fragrance.¹ They exist in

baked substance, beverages, candies, chewing gum, cosmetics, frozen dairy foods, gelatins, lotions, perfumes, puddings, soaps, etc.¹ Chroman-2-ones are also important synthetic intermediates for pharmaceutical compounds of various types.¹⁶ Furthermore, they were applied as building blocks for the synthesis of other biologically active compounds.¹⁷ One of the prominent possibilities is the hydrolysis of 3-aminosubstituted chroman-2-ones 3 to generate α -amino acids 4 through the lactone ring opening as shown in Scheme 1.

Scheme 1. Retrosynthetic Analysis of the Targets 3-Aminochroman-2-ones 3 and α -Amino Acids 4



Received: February 2, 2017 Published: April 21, 2017 Amino acids are the structural units that make up proteins and can also be used as a source of energy by the body.¹⁸ Among about 500 known species,¹⁹ their structures are classified as α -, β -, γ -, δ -amino acids, etc. Twenty proteinogenic amino acids are incorporated into polypeptides and conceded by the universal genetic code.²⁰ Many other nonproteinogenic amino acids are not produced directly.²¹ They may be formed by post-translational modification during protein synthesis. Because of their biological activities, some amino acids have been commonly used in nutritional supplements,²² fertilizers,²³ and food technology.²⁴ Other industrial uses include the production of drugs,²⁵ biodegradable plastics,²⁶ chiral catalysts,²⁷ etc.

An important pharmacophore in the family of amino acids is β , β -disubstituted arylalanines. These valuable chemical agents have been utilized to target various diseases including atherosclerosis reported by Doi and co-workers,²⁸ cancer by Hashimoto et al.,²⁹ diabetes insipidus by Kwiatkowska and co-workers,³⁰ diabetes mellitus type 2 by Patterson and co-workers,³¹ and thrombosis by Nilsson,³² Klebe,³³ Cheng et al.³⁴ Extensive studies related to human immunodeficiency virus are performed by McCauley,³⁵ Boyd,³⁶ Rajapakse,³⁷ Jones,³⁸ Stranix,³⁹ and their co-workers independently.

A main challenge to synthesize alanine derivatives bearing two different (especially $\beta_{\beta}\beta_{\beta}$ -diaryl) groups residing at the same β position is to generate two vicinal stereogenic centers at the α and β positions of an amino acid. Different approaches have been established to reach this objective. Representative examples include Armstrong's⁴⁰ ring opening of aziridines, Bach's⁴¹ diastereoselective $S_{\rm N}$ 1 reactions on phenylalanine derivatives, Chen,⁴² Yu,⁴³ Daugulis,⁴⁴ and Corey's⁴⁵ palladium-catalyzed C-H functionalization of alanine derivatives, Liu⁴⁶ and Hruby's⁴⁷ alkylations with a chiral auxiliary, Leighton's⁴⁸ aza-Darzens/ring opening reactions, Molinaro's⁴ hydrogenation of tetrasubstituted olefin, Chen's⁵⁰ chiral catalyst applied to nitroacrylates, Hou's⁵¹ alkylation of glycine derivatives, etc. These methods may require directing ligands, expensive chiral auxiliaries, metallic catalysts, or protecting groups. Some of these methods are limited to specific types of substrates.

It was our plan to develop a new and efficient domino method⁵² for the synthesis of amino-containing 3,4-disubstituted chroman-2-ones 3. It involved the reaction of phenols 5 with the Erlenmeyer–Plochl azlactones⁵³ 6 as shown in Scheme 1. This new method went through a highly stereoselective and catalytic process to produce the targets 3 in good yields under mild conditions. Furthermore, the resultant 3-aminochroman-2-ones 3 were converted to the corresponding α -amino acids 4 (especially β , β -diarylalanines) in excellent yields.

The design and conditions employed in our process differed from the established reactions. Their approaches include the use of the Baeyer–Villiger oxidation, the Diels–Alder reaction, electrochemical reduction, enzymic reduction, the Friedel– Crafts alkylation, hydrogenation, lactonization, metal-catalyzed cyclization, the Michael addition, the Michael–Aldol reaction, oxidative cyclization, sequential Ugi and intramolecular Michael reaction, etc. Recently, Tilve et al.¹ have provided many working examples in their review article.

It was conceivable that an acid-catalyzed coupling of phenols 5 with Erlenmeyer–Plochl azlactones 6 would lead to a C–C bond formation, lactonization, and azlactone ring-opening in situ. Meanwhile, two stereogenetic centers could be generated

with stereoselectivity in 3,4-disubstituted chroman-2-ones 3 as the final products. Nevertheless, Mohammadpoor-Baltork et al.⁵⁴ reported that the reaction of various azlactones with napthols in the presence of p-toluenesulfonic acid at 120 °C gives five-membered naphtho [2,1-b] furan-2(1H)-ones as the major products. They proposed a process that involves a transesterification reaction as the first step and then followed by tautomerization and a pseudo-Friedel-Crafts reaction. Consequently γ -butyrolactone, instead of δ -valerolactone, derivatives are generated. On the other hand, Halimehjani and Khoshdoun⁵⁵ used *p*-toluenesulfonic acid to catalyze the coupling of phenols with sulfur-containing starting materials 2-(alkylthio)thioazlactones. cis-3-(4-Arylchroman-2-onyl)carbamodithioates can be generated at 120 °C under the solvent-free conditions. Its initial step is similar to that mentioned above, which involves proton activation of the thiozalactone ring to lead to ring opening. Here we report our disparate findings by using AlCl₃ to catalyze the coupling of phenols 5 with (Z)-azlactones 6. The desired 3,4-disubstituted cis-chroman-2-ones 3 were generated in success under kinetic control as shown in Scheme 2.

Scheme 2. Reaction of Phenol 5 and (Z)-Azlactons 6 in the Presence of AlCl₃ To Produce *cis*-Aminochroman-2-ones 3



RESULTS

Synthesis of 3,4-Disubstituted cis-Chroman-2-ones 3. To develop an efficient and stereoselective way to synthesize 3,4-disubstituted cis-chroman-2-ones 3, we chose azlactone 6a holding a Z configuration as the starting material. This azlactone can be prepared easily by the condensation of benzaldehyde (7, $R^2 = Ph$) with N-benzoyl glycine (8, $R^4 = Ph$) according to the method reported by Sampedro et al.⁵⁶ Thus, (Z)-azlactone **6a** was treated with *p*-methoxyphenol (**5f**) in the presence of a protonic acid as shown in Table 1. Among the five protonic acids used as listed in entries 1-5, their acidic strength is in the order of CH₃C₆H₄SO₃H < camphorsulfonic acid < CF₃COOH < polyphoshoric acid < CF₃SO₃H.⁵⁷ The solvents utilized included toluene and 1,2-dichloroethane, the temperature was kept at 70-80 °C; and reaction time lasted from 3.0-5.0 h. Under these conditions, none of protonic acids was able to catalyze the reactions to generate a significant amount of the desired chroman-2-one 3f. Nevertheless, these results obtained by us are indeed consistent with those reported previously by Mohammadpoor-Baltork et al.⁵⁴

For the accomplishment of our goal to obtain chroman-2one derivatives, an alternative method was explored by use of Lewis acids⁵⁸ to initiate the Friedel–Crafts alkylation between *p*-methoxyphenol (**5f**) and azlactone **6a**. These Lewis acids included AgOTf, BF₃·Et₂O, CeCl₃·7 H₂O, CuBr₂, Cu(OAc)₂, Cu(OTf)₂, FeCl₃, InCl₃, Sc(OTf)₃, SnCl₄, TiCl₄, and Zn(OTf)₂ as shown in entries 6–17 of Table 1. Within 4.0 h at 40–70 °C, chroman-2-one **3f** was generated ≤10% yields in some of these

Table 1. Optimization of the Yield for the Coupling of *p*-Methoxyphenol (5f) with (Z)-Azlactone 6a to Give Disubstituted Chroman-2-one 3f

entry	catalyst	equiv.	solvent	temp. (°C)	time (h)	yield ["] (%)
1	$\mathrm{CH_3C_6H_4SO_3H}^b$	1.1	toluene	80	5.0	0
2	camphorsulfonic acid	1.0	toluene	80	3.0	trace
3	CF ₃ COOH	1.2	ClCH ₂ CH ₂ Cl	70	3.0	0
4	polyphoshoric acid	1.3	CICH ₂ CH ₂ Cl	70	3.0	0
5	CF ₃ SO ₃ H	0.50	CICH ₂ CH ₂ CI	70	3.0	0^{c}
6	AgOTf	1.1	CICH ₂ CH ₂ CI	70	4.0	trace
7	$BF_3 \cdot Et_2O$	2.0	CICH ₂ CH ₂ Cl	70	4.0	<10
8	CeCl ₃ ·7H ₂ O	1.1	CH_2Cl_2	40	4.0	<10
9	$CuBr_2$	1.5	CH_2Cl_2	40	4.0	<10
10	Cu(OAc) ₂	1.5	CH_2Cl_2	40	4.0	trace
11	Cu(OTf) ₂	1.1	CH_2Cl_2	40	4.0	5
12	FeCl ₃	1.5	CH_2Cl_2	40	4.0	trace
13	InCl ₃	1.0	CICH ₂ CH ₂ Cl	70	4.0	10
14	Sc(OTf) ₃	0.50	CICH ₂ CH ₂ Cl	70	4.0	trace
15	SnCl_4	0.50	CICH ₂ CH ₂ Cl	70	4.0	0^{c}
16	TiCl ₄	0.50	ClCH ₂ CH ₂ Cl	70	4.0	0^{c}
17	$Zn(OTf)_2$	1.1	CH_2Cl_2	40	4.0	<10
18	AlCl ₃	2.5	CICH ₂ CH ₂ CI	24	12	30
19	AlCl ₃	2.5	CICH ₂ CH ₂ CI	70	3.0	88
20	AlCl ₃	2.0	toluene	0	3.0	15
21	AlCl ₃	1.2	toluene	24	12	28
22	AlCl ₃	0.50	toluene	70	3.0	trace
23	AlCl ₃	1.1	toluene	70	3.0	23
24	AlCl ₃	2.1	toluene	80	3.0	85
25	AICI ₃	2.5	toluene	80	4.0	90
26	AlCl ₃	3.2	toluene	80	3.0	90
27	AlEtCl ₂	2.5	toluene	24	12	<5
28	AlEt ₂ Cl	2.5	toluene	24	12	<4
29	Al(salen)Cl	0.50	toluene	24	12	trace
30	$Al(O-i-Pr)_3$	2.5	toluene	80	5.0	5

^{*a*}Isolated yield. ^{*b*}Ref 54. ^{*c*}Azlactone decomposed.

reactions. Apparently, employment of Lewis acids as the catalysts was able to lead the coupling reaction to the desired δ -valerolactone derivative though the yield was low.⁵⁸

Aluminum trichloride is among the most popular reagents applied to catalyze the Friedel–Crafts alkylation.⁵⁹ Under various conditions as shown in entries 18–26 of Table 1, the

use of 2.5 equiv of $AlCl_3$ was able to produce the desired chroman-2-one **3f** with yields reaching as high as 90% (entry 25) from the starting materials *p*-methoxyphenol (**5f**) and (*Z*)-azlactone **6a**. It is known that the aluminum chloride is often required in full stoichiometric quantities for catalysis of the Friedel–Crafts reaction. This is due to its strong complexation with the product,⁶⁰ especially chroman-2-one **3f** possessing several binding sites such as oxygen and nitrogen atoms as well as phenyl rings.⁶¹ The related but less chlorinated aluminum Lewis acids like $AlEtCl_2$, $AlEt_2Cl$, Al(salen)Cl,⁶² and Al(O-i-Pr)3 were also applied. Nevertheless, the desired chroman-2-one **3f** was generated in very low yields (5% or less, see entries 27–30).

After the optimum conditions were obtained, the general scope and applicability were explored for this newly established reaction shown in Scheme 2. Consequently, nine phenols **5a**–i (1.0 equiv) with Me-, *tert*-butyl-, MeO-, dioxolane, I-, and benzofused substituents at different positions were used as the starting materials. Meanwhile, ten different (*Z*)-azlactones **6a**–j (1.5 equiv) with Ph-, *p*-tolyl-, (MeO)Ph-, F-Ph-, Me-, Et-, and isobutyl- substituents were also used. The coupling reactions were catalyzed by addition of 2.5 equiv of AlCl₃ in toluene at 80 °C for 3.0–4.0 h. Eighteen 3,4-disubstituted *cis*-chroman-2-ones (i.e., **3a**–**r**) were generated in 65–90% yields. All of these reactions led to the cis isomers exclusively except the product **3m** (cis/trans = 10:1). The details on the starting materials, products, and yields are listed in Table 2.

The structures of all new compounds were fully characterized by spectroscopic methods. For example, the exact mass of *cis*-3-(N-benzoyalamino)chroman-2-one 3d was measured as 371.1520, which is very close to its theoretical value of 371.1521 $(C_{24}H_{21}NO_3)^+$. Its ¹H NMR spectrum included a doublet of doublet with J = 6.6 and 6.6 Hz at δ 5.30 ppm for the NCHCO₂ proton and a doublet with J = 6.6 Hz at δ 4.86 ppm for the ArCHPh proton. The coupling constants J^3 for the protons at the C-3 and C-4 positions of disubstituented chromane-2-ones are \sim 6.8 and \sim 9.4 Hz for cis⁵⁵ and trans⁶³ isomers, respectively. Thus, the product 3d was assigned to be the cis isomer. Two singlets appeared at δ 2.34 and 2.13 ppm for the two methyl groups in the same benzene ring. In the downfield region, two very close singlets resonated at δ 6.86 and 6.85 ppm. They were assigned to the aromatic protons in the chroman-2-one nucleus. The ¹³C NMR spectrum of 3d exhibited two peaks in the downfield region at δ 167.54 and 167.24 ppm, which corresponded with the two C=O carbons. Moreover, 14 peaks were clearly observed between δ 150.73– 115.10 ppm, which were associated with the carbons in three different benzene rings. Its IR spectrum exhibited two strong absorption bands at 1770 and 1661 cm⁻¹, which were related to the stretching vibrations of C=O in the ester and amido groups, respectively.

For verification of the configuration conclusively, the molecular framework of compound **3d** was obtained by single crystal X-ray diffraction analysis (Figure 1). Its monoclinic crystals (mp 194.4–195.8 °C, methanol) possessed the space group $P2_1/n$ with a = 13.1943(13) Å, b = 8.1217(8) Å, c = 18.6026(18) Å, $\alpha = 90^{\circ}$, $\beta = 107.453(3)^{\circ}$, and $\gamma = 90^{\circ}$. Its X-ray data revealed that the two substituents at the C-3 benzoylamino group and the C-4 phenyl group indeed held a cis configuration.

Synthesis of β , β -Disubstituted Arylalanines and N-Protected Derivatives. 3,4-Disubstituted chroman-2-ones 3 exist as a masked form of β , β -disubstituted arylalanines.

Table 2. Starting Materials, Products, and Yields in the Synthesis of *cis*-Chroman-2-ones from Phenols and Azlactones by Use of 2.5 equiv of $AlCl_3$ in Toluene at 80 °C



^aThis was the only example that a mixture of *cis*- and *trans*-isomer (10:1) was generated.



Figure 1. ORTEP diagram of compound 3d as determined by X-ray analysis (ellipsoid contour probability 50%).

Hydrolysis under controlled conditions to cause only the selective ring opening of the lactone moiety therein would lead to the corresponding *N*-protected α -amino acids **9** as shown in Scheme 3. On the other hand, hydrolysis occurring to both of

Scheme 3. Hydrolyses of *cis*-Chroman-2-ones 3 to give N-Protected α -Amino Acids 9 and Then α -Amino Acids 4



the lactone moiety and the C-3 benzoylamino groups in compounds 3 would lead to free α -amino acids 4. A challenge to these hydrolysis reactions was how to prevent the undesired epimerization from occurring at the α carbon center to give the diastereomeric byproducts 10.

The conversion of 3,4-disubstituted *cis*-chroman-2-ones **3** to free α -amino acids **4** was initially accomplished by use of compound **3f** in an aqueous NaOH solution at 100 °C within 1.0 h. Nevertheless, annoying epimerization indeed took place at the α carbon center. It led to a 1:1 mixture of α -amino acids **4** (R¹ = OMe, R² = Ph) and **10** (R¹ = OMe, R² = Ph) in 78% overall yield.

The *N*-protected α -amino acids **9** as shown in Scheme **3** are in a class of valuable compounds in proteomic and synthetic chemistry. The free hydroxyl and carboxyl groups therein allow them to be coupled with nucleic acids, various drugs, and other amino acids. Afterward, the *N*-protected group can be removed to give free amines. On the basis of this advantage, it encouraged us to find mild conditions for the generation of *N*-protected α -amino acids from chroman-2-ones **3**.

Among various reagents, solvents, and temperatures shown in Table 3, sodium bicarbonate in a mixture of THF and water (3:1) was found to serve the purpose of selective hydrolysis well for chroman-2-one 3f in 12 h. Application of the optimum conditions listed in entry 11 allowed the desired α -(*N*benzoyl)amino acid 9b isolated in 90% yield without

Table 3. Conditions and Yields for the Hydrolysis of *cis*-Chroman-2-one 3f to Give Amino Acid 9b

entry	base	equiv	solvent	temp (°C)	time (h)	yield ^a (%)
1	LiOH	2.0	THF: H_2O (= 3:1)	25	1.0	40
2	LiOH	1.5	THF:MeOH:H ₂ O (= 3:1:1)	25	2.0	0 ^{<i>b</i>}
3	NaOH	1.5	MeOH	25	1.0	0 ^b
4	NaOMe	1.1	MeOH	25	1.0	0 ^b
5	K_2CO_3	1.5	MeOH	25	3.0	0 ^b
6	Na_2CO_3	2.0	THF: H_2O (= 3:1)	60	2.0	50
7	NaHCO ₃	3.0	acetonitrile:H ₂ O (= 3:1)	25	3.0	70
8	NaHCO ₃	3.0	acetonitrile:H ₂ O (= 3:1)	60	4.0	75
9	NaHCO ₃	2.0	THF: H_2O (= 3:1)	25	5.0	60
10	$NaHCO_3$	5.0	THF: H_2O (= 3:1)	25	12	65
11	$NaHCO_3$	10	THF: H_2O (= 3:1)	25	12	90
12	$NaHCO_3$	20	THF: H_2O (= 3:1)	25	12	72

^{*a*}Isolated yield on the basis of *cis*-chromane-2-one **3f** used with 1.0 equiv. ^{*b*}The methyl ester of acid **9b** was isolated along with its α -epimer in 90–95% yields.

epimerization at the α carbon. Further hydrolysis at the amido functionality therein did not occur in situ. When methanol was present in the reaction solution, the methyl ester of **9b** along with its α epimer was generated together in 90–95% yields regardless the base employed was LiOH, NaOH, NaOMe, or K₂CO₃ (entries 2–5 of Table 3). Accordingly, the optimum conditions were applied to six representative *cis*-chroman-2-ones as shown in Table 4 to give nonepimeric α -(*N*-benzoyl)amino acids **9a**-f in 85–93% yields.

Furthermore, various conditions were tested to convert α -(N-benzoyl)amino acids 9 to the corresponding free α -amino acids 4 as the exclusive products. Use of either NaOH or KOH, which is stronger than sodium bicarbonate, led benzoylamino acid 9b to a mixture containing α -amino acid 4b and its α epimer (see entries 1 and 2 of Table 5). The substrate 9b, however, remained intact in an ethanolic solution containing a mild base hydrazine (entry 3). Finally, use of the methanolic solution containing hydrochloric acid⁶⁴ (aqueous 12 N HCl/ MeOH = 1:2.3) at 80 °C allowed us to achieve our aim of deprotection of the benzoyl group in 9b. As shown in entry 8 of Table 5, the desired free α -amino acid 4b was obtained in 81% yield. Tourwé et al.65 performed detailed studies on the deprotection of α -(N-benzoyl)amino acids with strong mineral acids. They confirmed that epimerization does not occur at the α position of the resultant α -amino acid products.

As a result, the optimum conditions shown in entry 8 of Table 5 were applied to deprotect benzoates 9a-f. The corresponding hydrolyzed free amino acids 4a-f were obtained as the exclusive epimers in 80–88% yields as shown in Table 4.

DISCUSSION

A cogent mechanism is depicted in Scheme 4 for the newly developed domino process in the synthesis of 3,4-disubstituted *cis*-chroman-2-ones 3 by use of AlCl₃ as the catalyst. It illustrates our design on how to obtain the diastereoselectivity with phenol **5a** and (*Z*)-azlactone **11** as a representative example. First, coordination of the Lewis acid AlCl₃ at the carbonyl group of the enone moiety of azlactone **11** generates a carbocationic intermediate **12**, which contains a benzylic cation. Sampedro⁵⁶ reported that the phenyl group (in blue) of the

Table 4. Products and Yields in the Hydrolysis of *cis*-Chroman-2-ones to Give Amino Acids 9a-f, and the Following Debenzoylation to α -Amino Acids 4a-f, Respectively



 ${}^{a}\alpha$ -(*N*-Benzoyl)amino acids were obtained by hydrolysis of *cis*chroman-2-ones with 10 equiv of sodium bicarbonate in a mixture of THF and water (= 3:1) at room temperature for 12 h. ^bFree aamino acids were obtained by debenzoylation of α -(*N*-benzoyl)amino acids with a mixture of 12 N hydrochloric acid and methanol (1:2.3) at 80 °C for 12 h.

azlactone holds a Z geometry relative to the nitrogen atom. This geometry plays a crucial role in the determination of the stereoseletivity in the following addition steps. When the Friedel–Crafts reaction proceeds, the starting material phenol **5a** would first attach to the carbocationic intermediate **12**. It proceeds preferentially at the site opposite to where the tetrahedral aluminum group⁶⁶ resides. The resultant aluminate **13** holds a configuration with the benzylic hydrogen at the same site of the aluminate group. It leaves two six-membered rings (in green and sorrel) away from the aluminum group to avoid steric congestion.

Second, transposition of the $AlCl_3$ group from an enolate oxygen to the imine nitrogen atom in the oxazole intermediate **13** takes place. It is initiated by the donation of an unshared electron pair from its ethereal oxygen atom to the imine moiety. After the $AlCl_3$ group is coordinated at the nitrogen

Table 5. Optimization for the Hydrolysis of α -(*N*-Benzoyl)amino Acid 9b to Give Free α -Amino Acid 4b with Minimal Epimerization

entry	base	equiv	solvent	temp (°C)	time (h)	yield ^{a} (%)
1	NaOH	2.0	H_2O	90	5.0	55 ^b
2	КОН	2.0	H_2O	90	5.0	65 ^b
3	H_2N-NH_2	5.0	EtOH	90	12	0
4	HCl	20	H_2O	90	8.0	10
5	HCl ^c	20	AcOH	120	8.0	20
6	HCl ^d	15	MeOH	80	12	65
7	HCl ^d	20	MeOH	80	12	75
8	HCl ^d	26	MeOH	80	12	81
9	HCl ^d	35	MeOH	80	12	75
10	HBr	20	H_2O	90	5.0	25
11	HBr ^e	20	AcOH	120	2.0	80

^{*a*}Isolated yield on the basis of α -(*N*-benzoyl)amino acid **9b** used with 1.0 equiv. ^{*b*}The α -epimeric mixture of acid **4b** was obtained. ^{*c*}Aqueous HCl (12 N)/acetic acid (= 1:4). ^{*d*}Aqueous HCl (12 N)/methanol (= 1:2.3). ^{*e*}Aqueous HBr (48%)/acetic acid (= 1:4).

atom through a 1,4-shift, a carbanionic center is generated in intermediates 14 and 15. Computational results obtained by use of the DMol3 in Material Studio 5.5 program for geometry optimization show that the distance between the center of aluminate and the center of the phenyl ring (originally from azlactone) in 14 was 5.87 Å. It was longer than 4.95 Å that was the distance between the center of aluminate and the center of the phenol ring in 15. The energy difference (i.e., ΔE) was calculated as 9.31 kcal/mol, which favored the formation of intermediates 14.

Meanwhile, the Friedel–Crafts alkylation is completed and liberates a proton from the cyclohexadiene ring in **13**. The H⁺ prefers to "float" at the electron-rich site of RR'N \rightarrow Al⁻Cl₃ as shown in the carbanion **14** instead of the carbanion **15** due to the electronic effect. At the same time, the phenyl group containing delocalized π -electrons above and below the sixmembered ring repulses the electron-rich moiety of RR'N \rightarrow Al⁻Cl₃ to a different site. As a result, the carbanion center traps an H⁺ from the oppositesite of the phenyl group. Concurrently, a new lactone ring was formed and opening of the azlactone ring in **14** occurred to produce the amide intermediate **16** through a kinetic process.

Third, the aluminum group in the protonated lactone **16** is replaced by a proton to give the target *cis*-chorman-2-one **18** exclusively through the RR'N \rightarrow Al⁻Cl₃ species **17**. The two factors that involve the use of AlCl₃ as the catalyst and the phenyl group holding a *Z* configuration play essential roles in control of stereoselectivity. When this phenyl group is replaced by a methyl group in the starting material (i.e., azlactone **6h**), the *cis*-chorman-2-one **3m** was generated along with its trans isomer in a ratio of 10:1.

The mechanism shown in Scheme 4 illustrates the steric and electronic effects associated with $AlCl_3$. These effects cannot be reached by use of a proton as the acid catalyst, which is regarded as the smallest element of Lewis acid.⁶⁷ Mohammadpoor-Baltork et al.⁵⁴ activated azlactones with a Brønsted acid (*p*-TsOH) at the carbonyl group to allow an attack by an alcohol at the carbonyl carbon. They believe that their reaction proceeds through a tandem esterification/intramolecular 1,4-addition-type Friedel–Crafts alkylation reaction. The stereo-chemical outcome is dictated under thermodynamic control by the last tautomerization step at high temperature. We also

Scheme 4. Plausible Mechanistic Pathway Including Four Domino Steps



confirmed the feasibility of their pathway by use of our starting materials to give [2,1-b] furan-2(1H)-ones.

In contrast, replacement of the proton of a Brønsted acid by the "bulkier" Lewis acid $AlCl_3$ as the catalyst would lead the nucleophilic alcohols to attack the activated intermediate **12** at the farther carbon. As a result, the entire domino process shown in Scheme 4 includes a Friedel–Crafts alkylation, 1,4- $AlCl_3$ shift, lactone formation, azlactone ring opening, and protodealumination in sequence.

The new reaction as shown in Scheme 2 for synthesis of 3,4disubstituted chroman-2-ones possesses four advantages: (1) The starting materials, phenols and Erlenmeyer–Plochl (*Z*)azlactones with various substituents, are readily available. (2) The chroman-2-one targets are generated in a highly stereoselective manner with a cis configuration. (3) The reaction leads to the desired products in good to excellent yields (65–90%) under mild conditions (80 °C). (4) The entire process is a "single-flask" reaction in nature. It can be conducted with easy and establishes an ecologically and economically favorable way to produce chroman-2-one derivatives.

CONCLUSIONS

A new and efficient method was developed for the synthesis of 3,4-disubstituted *cis*-chroman-2-ones 3 from one equivalent of phenols 5 and 1.5 equiv of (Z)-azlactones 6 in the presence of 2.5 equiv of AlCl₃ as the catalyst. It involves a cationic hetero domino process of Friedel–Crafts alkylation/1,4-AlCl₃ shift/ transesterification/protodealumination. The new findings are with the benefit of atom economy, reduction of waste generated by alternative methods with multiple chemical steps, as well as downsize of time and work required.

Furthermore, the conversions of chroman-2-ones **3** to *N*-protected α -amino acids and then to free α -amino acids were

accomplished in success by stepwise hydrolysis under optimum alkaline and acidic conditions, respectively. Consequently, the target molecules were generated without undesired epimerization at the α -carbon centers. Continuous works in quest of chiral catalysts and ligands to induce asymmetric syntheses of 3,4-disubstituted chorman-2-ones and α -amino acids are underway. The results will be reported in due course.

EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

General Procedure. All reactions were carried out in oven-dried glassware (120 °C) under an atmosphere of nitrogen unless as indicated otherwise. Dichloroethane, dichloromethane, and methanol were purchased from Mallinckrodt Chemical Co. THF from Mallinckrodt Chemicals Co. was dried by distillation from sodium and benzophenone under an atmosphere of nitrogen. Ethyl acetate and hexanes from Mallinckrodt Chemical Co. were dried and distilled from CaH₂. Aluminum trichloride (AlCl₃), 3-methylphenol, and 4-methylphenol were purchased from Merck. 4-Methoxyphenol, 3,4-(methylenedioxy)phenol, and 2-naphthol were purchased from Alfa Aesar Chemical Co. 3,5-Dimethylphenol, 4-iodophenol, phenol, and 4-*tert*-butylphenol were purchased from TCI. Azlactones 6a-j were synthesized according to the reported procedures.

Analytical thin layer chromatography (TLC) was performed on precoated plates (silica gel 60 F-254). Purification by gravity column chromatography was carried out by use of Silicycle ultra-pure silica gel (particle size 40–63 μ M, 230–400 mesh). Melting points were obtained with a melting point apparatus. HPLC analysis was performed on high performance liquid chromatography, UV detection monitored at 254 nm, using a Thermo 5 μ m Hypersil ODS (250 mm 4.6 mm i.d.) column with acetonitrile and water as the eluent.

Infrared spectra (IR) were measured on a Fourier transform infrared spectrometer (FT-IR). Absorption intensities are recorded by the following abbreviations: s, strong; m, medium; and w, weak. Proton NMR spectra were obtained on 400 MHz spectrometers by use of chloroform-*d* (CDCl₃), dimethyl sulfoxide- d_6 (DMSO- d_6), and methanol- d_3 (CD₃OD) as the solvents. Proton NMR chemical shifts were referenced to residual protonated solvent (δ 7.24 ppm for

chloroform, 2.49 ppm for dimethyl sulfoxide, and 3.31 ppm for methanol). Carbon-13 NMR spectra were obtained 100 MHz spectrometers by use of chloroform-d (CDCl₃), dimethyl sulfoxide- d_6 (DMSO- d_6), and methanol- d_3 (CD₃OD) as the solvents. Carbon-13 chemical shifts were referenced to the center of the CDCl₃ triplet (δ 77.0 ppm), DMSO- d_6 septet (δ 39.5 ppm), or CD₃OD septet (δ 49.0 ppm). Multiplicities are recorded by the following abbreviations: s, singlet; d, doublet; dd, doublet of doublet; ddd, doublet of doublet of doublet is t, triplet; q, quartet; m, multiplet; and *J*, coupling constant (hertz). High-resolution mass spectra (HRMS) were measured on an instrument using a time-of-flight mass analyzer (TOF) with electrospray ionization (ESI).

Standard Procedure 1 for the Syntheses of Chroman-2-one Derivatives 3a-r. To a stirred solution of azlactone 6 (1.5 equiv) in dry toluene at room temperature was added substituted phenol 5 (1.0 equiv) and AlCl₃ (2.5 equiv). After the reaction mixture was stirred at 80 °C for 3.0–4.0 h, it was cooled down to room temperature. The reaction was quenched with saturated sodium bicarbonate aqueous solution, which was extracted with EtOAc (3 × 15 mL). The combined organic layers were dried over MgSO₄ (s), filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure to afford the residue. It was then purified by use of column chromatography on silica gel with EtOAc in hexanes as the eluent to give the desired chroman-2-one.

cis-3-(N-Benzoylamino)-4-phenylchroman-2-one (3a). The Standard Procedure 1 was followed by use of oxazol-5(4H)-one **6a**⁶ (53.4 mg, 0.214 mmol, 1.5 equiv), phenol 5a (13.5 mg, 0.142 mmol, 1.0 equiv), and AlCl₃ (47.3 mg, 0.355 mmol, 2.5 equiv) in toluene (2.0 mL). After the reaction mixture was stirred for 4.0 h and worked up, the residue was purified by use of column chromatography (2.0% EtOAc in hexanes as the eluent) to give chroman-2-one 3a (42.1 mg, 0.122 mmol) in 86% yield as white solids: mp (recrystallized from MeOH) 156.8–157.6 °C; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz) δ 7.70 (d, J = 7.2 Hz, 2 H, 2 \times ArH), 7.51 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 2 H, 2 \times ArH), 7.43–7.35 (m, 3 H, 3 × ArH), 7.25–7.16 (m, 5 H, 5 × ArH), 7.00–6.97 (m, 2 H, 2 × ArH), 6.65 (d, J = 6.4 Hz, 1 H, NH), 5.37 (dd, J = 6.4, 6.4 Hz, 1 H, CHN), 4.88 (d, J = 6.4 Hz, 1 H, CHPh); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 100 MHz) δ 167.3 (C=O), 167.3 (C=O), 150.6, 136.5, 133.4, 132.1, 129.6, 129.5, 129.0, 128.7, 128.3, 128.1, 127.1, 125.6, 124.5, 117.1, 53.7 (CHN), 45.0 (CPh); IR (neat) 3375-3360 (m, NH), 1768 (s, C= O), 1657 (s, C=O), 1486 (w), 1165 (m) 780 cm⁻¹; HRMS (ESI-TOF) m/z [M]⁺ Calcd for C₂₂H₁₇NO₃ 343.1208; Found 343.1209.

cis-3-(N-Benzoylamino)-6-methyl-4-phenylchroman-2-one (3b). The Standard Procedure 1 was followed by use of oxazol-5(4H)one **6a**⁶⁸ (52.4 mg, 0.211 mmol, 1.5 equiv), 4-methylphenol **5b** (15.4 mg, 0.141 mmol, 1.0 equiv), and AlCl₃ (47.3 mg, 0.352 mmol, 2.5 equiv) in toluene (3.5 mL). After the reaction mixture was stirred for 4.0 h and worked up, the residue was purified by use of column chromatography (2.0% EtOAc in hexanes as the eluent) to give chroman-2-one 3b (45.3 mg, 0.126 mmol) in 90% yield as white solids: mp (recrystallized from MeOH) 136.2-137.5 °C; ¹H NMR $(\text{CDCl}_3, 400 \text{ MHz}) \delta 7.71 - 7.69 \text{ (d, } J = 7.6 \text{ Hz}, 2 \text{ H}, 2 \times \text{ArH}), 7.51$ (tt, J = 7.6, 1.2 Hz, 1 H, ArH), 7.43–7.39 (m, 2 H, 2 × ArH), 7.26– 7.22 (m, 3 H, 3 × ArH), 7.17 (dd, J = 8.4, 2.0 Hz, 1 H, ArH), 7.10 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1 H, ArH), 7.04 (brs, 1 H, ArH), 7.01–6.99 (m, 2 H, 2 × ArH), 6.65 (d, J = 6.2 Hz, 1 H, NH), 5.33 (dd, J = 6.2, 6.2 Hz, 1 H, CHN), 4.83 (d, I = 6.2 Hz, 1 H, CHPh), 2.29 (s, 3 H, CH₃); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 100 MHz) δ 167.5 (C=O), 167.2 (C=O), 148.5, 136.6, 135.3, 133.4, 132.0, 130.0, 129.8, 128.9, 128.6, 128.2, 128.0, 127.0, 124.1, 116.8, 53.7 (CHN), 45.0 (CPh), 20.7 (CH₃); IR (neat) 3401-3396 (m, NH), 1766 (s, C=O), 1651 (s, C=O), 1488 (s), 1356 (m), 1173 (s), 1149 (s), 723 (s) cm⁻¹; HRMS (ESI-TOF) m/z $[M + Na]^+$ Calcd for C₂₃H₁₉NO₃Na 380.1263; Found 380.1264.

cis-3-(*N*-Benzoylamino)-7-methyl-4-phenylchroman-2-one (3c). The Standard Procedure 1 was followed by use of oxazol-5(4H)-one $6a^{68}$ (51.4 mg, 0.211 mmol, 1.5 equiv), 3-methylphenol 5c (15.4 mg, 0.141 mmol, 1.0 equiv), and AlCl₃ (46.6 mg, 0.351 mmol, 2.5 equiv) in toulene (3.5 mL). After the reaction mixture was stirred for 4.0 h and worked up, the residue was purified by use of column chromatography (2.0% EtOAc in hexanes as the eluent) to give chroman-2-one 3c (44.3 mg, 0.123 mmol) in 88% yield as white

solids: mp (recrystallized from EtOH) 143.5–144.4 °C; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz) δ 7.71–7.68 (m, 2 H, 2 × ArH), 7.51 (tt, *J* = 7.6, 2.0 Hz, 1 H, ArH), 7.43–7.39 (m, 2 H, 2 × ArH), 7.25–7.21 (m, 3 H, 3 × ArH), 7.11 (d, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 1 H, ArH), 7.03–6.97 (m, 4 H, 4 × ArH), 6.65 (d, *J* = 6.4 Hz, 1 H, NH), 5.34 (dd, *J* = 6.4, 6.4 Hz, 1 H, CHN), 4.83 (d, *J* = 6.4 Hz, 1 H, CHPh), 2.39 (s, 3 H, CH₃); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 100 MHz) δ 167.5 (C=O), 167.2 (C=O), 150.4, 139.9, 136.8, 133.4, 132.0, 129.2, 128.9, 128.7, 128.2, 127.9, 127.1, 126.4, 121.3, 117.4, 53.8 (CHN), 44.7 (CPh), 21.19 (CH₃); IR (neat) 3400–3390 (m, NH), 1762 (s, C=O), 1655 (s, C=O), 1482 (s), 1346 (m), 1163 (s), 1034 (m), 723 (s) cm⁻¹; HRMS (ESI-TOF) *m*/*z* [M]⁺ Calcd for C₂₃H₁₉NO₃ 357.1365; Found 357.1364.

cis-3-(N-Benzoylamino)-5,7-dimethyl-4-phenylchroman-2one (3d). The Standard Procedure 1 was followed by use of oxazol-5(4H)-one **6a**⁶⁸ (54.1 mg, 0.217 mmol, 1.5 equiv), 3,5-dimethylphenol 5d (17.7 mg, 0.144 mmol, 1.0 equiv), and AlCl₃ (48.2 mg, 0.361 mmol, 2.5 equiv) in toluene (3.0 mL). After the reaction mixture was stirred for 4.0 h and worked up, the residue was purified by use of column chromatography (2.0% EtOAc in hexanes as the eluent) to give chroman-2-one 3d (46.3 mg, 0.128 mmol) in 86% yield as white solids: mp (recrystallized from MeOH) 194.4-195.8 °C; ¹H NMR $(CDCl_3, 400 \text{ MHz}) \delta 7.70-7.69 \text{ (m, 2 H, 2 × ArH)}, 7.50 \text{ (t, } J = 7.4 \text{ (cDCl}_3, 400 \text{ MHz}) \delta 7.70-7.69 \text{ (m, 2 H, 2 × ArH)}, 7.50 \text{ (t, } J = 7.4 \text{ (cDCl}_3, 400 \text{ MHz}) \delta 7.70-7.69 \text{ (m, 2 H, 2 × ArH)}, 7.50 \text{ (t, } J = 7.4 \text{ (cDCl}_3, 400 \text{ MHz}) \delta 7.70-7.69 \text{ (m, 2 H, 2 × ArH)}, 7.50 \text{ (t, } J = 7.4 \text{ (cDCl}_3, 400 \text{ MHz}) \delta 7.70-7.69 \text{ (m, 2 H, 2 × ArH)}, 7.50 \text{ (t, } J = 7.4 \text{ (cDCl}_3, 400 \text{ MHz}) \delta 7.70-7.69 \text{ (m, 2 H, 2 × ArH)}, 7.50 \text{ (t, } J = 7.4 \text{ (cDCl}_3, 400 \text{ MHz}) \delta 7.70-7.69 \text{ (m, 2 H, 2 × ArH)}, 7.50 \text{ (t, } J = 7.4 \text{ (cDCl}_3, 400 \text{ MHz}) \delta 7.70-7.69 \text{ (m, 2 H, 2 × ArH)}, 7.50 \text{ (t, } J = 7.4 \text{ (m, 2 H, 2 × ArH)})$ Hz, 1 H, ArH), 7.43–7.39 (m, 2 H, 2 × ArH), 7.24–7.22 (m, 3 H, 3 × ArH), 7.02-6.99 (m, 2 H, 2 × ArH), 6.86 (s, 1 H, ArH), 6.85 (s, 1 H, ArH), 6.62 (d, J = 6.6 Hz, 1 H, NH), 5.30 (dd, J = 6.6, 6.6 Hz, 1 H, CHN), 4.86 (d, J = 6.6 Hz, 1 H, CHPh), 2.34 (s, 3 H, CH₃), 2.13 (s, 3 H, CH₃); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 100 MHz) δ 167.5 (C=O), 167.2 (C= O), 150.7, 139.3, 137.3, 135.7, 133.4, 132.0, 128.9, 128.7, 128.5, 128.0, 128.0, 127.1, 120.1, 115.1, 53.7 (CHN), 42.3 (CPh), 21.1 (CH₃), 18.6 (CH₃); IR (neat) 3435-3429 (m, NH), 1770 (s, C=O), 1661 (s, C=O), 1515 (m), 1485 (m), 1358 (m), 1176 (m) cm⁻¹; HRMS (ESI-TOF) m/z [M]⁺ Calcd for C₂₄H₂₁NO₃ 371.1521; Found 371.1520.

cis-3-(N-Benzoylamino)-6-tert-butyl-4-phenylchroman-2one (3e). The Standard Procedure 1 was followed by use of oxazol-5(4H)-one **6a**⁶⁸ (51.6 mg, 0.207 mmol, 1.5 equiv), 4-tert-butylphenol 5e (20.8 mg, 0.138 mmol, 1.0 equiv), and AlCl₃ (46.2 mg, 0.345 mmol, 2.5 equiv) in toluene (2.0 mL). After the reaction mixture was stirred for 4.0 h and worked up, the residue was purified by use of column chromatography (2.0% EtOAc in hexanes as the eluent) to give chroman-2-one 3e (47.2 mg, 0.118 mmol) in 85% yield as white solids: mp (recrystallized from MeOH) 136.3-137.1 °C; ¹H NMR $(CDCl_3, 400 \text{ MHz}) \delta 7.72 - 7.70 \text{ (m, 2 H, 2 × ArH)}, 7.51 \text{ (t, } J = 7.3 \text{ (cDCl}_3, 400 \text{ MHz})$ Hz, 1 H, ArH), 7.42–7.37 (m, 3 H, 3 × ArH), 7.26–7.23 (m, 4 H, 4 × ArH), 7.14 (d, J = 8.6 Hz, 1 H, ArH), 7.01–6.99 (m, 2 H, 2 × ArH), 6.65 (d, J = 6.0 Hz, 1 H, NH), 5.33 (dd, J = 6.0, 6.0 Hz, 1 H, CHN), 4.86 (d, J = 6.0 Hz, 1 H, CHPh), 1.27 (s, 9 H, 3 × CH₂); ¹³C NMR $(CDCl_3, 100 \text{ MHz}) \delta 167.7 (C=O), 167.2 (C=O), 148.8, 148.4,$ 136.7, 133.5, 132.1, 129.0, 128.7, 128.4, 128.0, 127.1, 126.6, 126.3, 123.7, 116.5, 54.0 (CHN), 45.3 (CPh), 34.5 (CMe₃), 31.3 (3 × CH₃); IR (neat) 3433-3423 (m, NH), 1771 (s, C=O), 1662 (s, C=O), 1496 (m), 1356 (m), 1164 (m), 705 (w) cm⁻¹; HRMS (ESI-TOF) m/ z [M + H]⁺ Calcd for C₂₆H₂₅NO₃H 400.1913; Found 400.1907.

cis-3-(N-Benzoylamino)-6-methoxy-4-phenylchroman-2-one (3f). The Standard Procedure 1 was followed by use of oxazol-5(4H)one **6a**⁶⁸ (53.4 mg, 0.214 mmol, 1.5 equiv), 4-methoxyphenol **5f** (18.5 mg, 0.142 mmol, 1.0 equiv), and AlCl₃ (47.5 mg, 0.355 mmol, 2.5 equiv) in toluene (3.0 mL). After the reaction mixture was stirred for 4.0 h and worked up, the residue was purified by use of column chromatography (2.0% EtOAc in hexanes as the eluent) to give chroman-2-one 3f (47.8 mg, 0.127 mmol) in 90% yield as white solids: mp (recrystallized from MeOH) 111.5-112.4 °C; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz) δ 7.70–7.68 (m, 2 H, 2 × ArH), 7.50 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 1 H, ArH), 7.42–7.39 (m, 2 H, 2 × ArH), 7.25–7.22 (m, 3 H, 3 × ArH), 7.14 (d, J = 8.9 Hz, 1 H, ArH), 7.00–6.97 (m, 2 H, 2 × ArH), 6.89 (dd, J = 8.9, 2.9 Hz, 1 H, ArH), 6.73 (d, J = 2.9 Hz, 1 H, ArH), 6.68 (d, *J* = 6.4 Hz, 1 H, NH), 5.32 (dd, *J* = 6.4, 6.4 Hz, 1 H, CHN), 4.83 (d, *J* = 6.4 Hz, 1 H, CHPh), 3.74 (s, 3 H, OCH₃); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 100 MHz) δ 167.4 (C=O), 167.3 (C=O), 156.9, 144.41, 136.3, 133.3, 132.1, 129.0, 128.7, 128.3, 128.1, 127.1, 125.3, 118.0, 115.34, 113.6,

55.6 (OCH₃), 53.7 (CHNH), 45.2 (CHPh); IR (neat) 3435–3424 (m, NH), 1765 (s, C=O), 1661 (s, C=O), 1515 (s), 1357 (m), 1199 (s), 710 (s) cm⁻¹; HRMS (ESI-TOF) m/z [M]⁺ Calcd for C₂₃H₁₉NO₄ 373.1314; Found 373.1317.

cis-3-(N-Benzovlamino)-6-methoxy-4-(4'-methyl-phenyl)chroman-2-one (3g). The Standard Procedure 1 was followed by use of oxazol-5-one **6b**⁶⁸ (54.5 mg, 0.207 mmol, 1.5 equiv), 4methoxyphenol 5f (17.1 mg, 0.138 mmol, 1.0 equiv), and AlCl₃ (47.5 mg, 0.345 mmol, 2.5 equiv) in toluene (3.5 mL). After the reaction mixture was stirred for 4.0 h and worked up, the residue was purified by use of column chromatography (5.0% EtOAc in hexanes as the eluent) to give chroman-2-one 3g (41.6 mg, 0.107 mmol) in 77% yield as white solids: mp (recrystallized from EtOH) 143.4-144.2 °C; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz) δ 7.72–7.70 (m, 2 H, 2 × ArH), 7.49 (tt, J = 7.2, 1.6 Hz, 1 H, ArH), 7.44–7.40 (m, 2 H, 2 × ArH), 7.13 (d, I = 8.8 Hz, 1 H, ArH), 7.05 (d, I = 7.6 Hz, 2 H, 2 × ArH), 6.90–6.87 $(m, 3 H, 3 \times ArH), 6.72 (d, J = 2.8 Hz, 1 H, ArH), 6.66 (d, J = 6.2 Hz)$ 1 H, NH), 5.31 (dd, J = 6.2, 6.2 Hz, 1 H, CHN), 4.78 (d, J = 6.2 Hz, 1 H, CHPh), 3.74 (s, 3 H, OCH₃), 2.27 (s, 3 H, CH₃); ¹³C NMR $(CDCl_3, 100 \text{ MHz}) \delta 167.5 (C=O), 167.2 (C=O), 156.9, 144.5,$ 137.9, 133.5, 133.3, 132.1, 129.7, 128.7, 128.1, 127.1, 125.6, 118.0, 115.4, 113.5, 55.7 (OCH₃), 53.7 (CHN), 45.0 (CPh), 21.0 (CH₃); IR (neat) 3435-3428 (m, NH), 1769 (s, C=O), 1661 (s, C=O), 1494 (s), 1356 (m), 1199 (s) cm⁻¹; HRMS (ESI-TOF) *m/z* [M]⁺ Calcd for C₂₄H₂₁NO₄ 387.1471; Found 387.1472.

cis-3-(N-Benzoylamino)-6-methoxy-4-(2'-methoxy-phenyl)**chroman-2-one (3h).** The Standard Procedure 1 was followed by use of oxazol-5-one $6c^{69}$ (55.6 mg, 0.199 mmol, 1.5 equiv), 4methoxyphenol 5f (16.5 mg, 0.132 mmol, 1.0 equiv), and AlCl₃ (44.2 mg, 0.331 mmol, 2.5 equiv) in toulene (3.5 mL). After the reaction mixture was stirred for 4.0 h and worked up, the residue was purified by use of column chromatography (5.0% EtOAc in hexanes as the eluent) to give chroman-2-one 3h (38.8 mg, 0.0961 mmol) in 73% yield as white solids: mp (recrystallized from EtOH) 155.4-157.2 °C; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz) δ 7.72–7.07 (m, 2 H, 2 × ArH), 7.46 (tt, J = 7.2, 1.2 Hz, 1 H, ArH), 7.44–7.40 (m, 2 H, 2 × ArH), 7.16 (t, J = 8.0 Hz, 1 H, ArH), 7.13 (d, J = 9.2 Hz, 1 H, ArH), 6.89 (dd, J = 9.2, 3.2 Hz, 1 H, ArH), 6.85-6.77 (m, 1 H, ArH), 6.72 (d, J = 2.4 Hz, 1 H, ArH), 6.68 (d, J = 6.2 Hz, 1 H, NH), 6.57 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1 H, ArH), 6.52 (t, J = 2.4 Hz, 1 H, ArH), 5.33 (dd, J = 6.2, 6.2 Hz, 1 H, CHN), 5.22 (d, J = 6.2 Hz, 1 H, CHPh), 3.71 (s, 3 H, OCH₃), 3.53 (s, 3 H, OCH₃); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 100 MHz) δ 167.6 (C=O), 167.2 (C= O), 157.1, 156.6, 144.8, 133.7, 131.8, 129.9, 129.3, 128.5, 127.0, 125.6, 124.8, 121.2, 117.7, 114.9, 113.8, 110.5, 55.6 (OCH₃), 54.7 (OCH₃), 52.3 (CHN), 40.9 (CPh); IR (neat) 3368-3357 (m, NH), 1763 (s, C=O), 1663 (s, C=O), 1492 (s), 1356 (m), 1199 (s), 732 cm⁻¹; HRMS (ESI-TOF) m/z [M + H]⁺ Calcd for C₂₄H₂₁NO₅H 404.1498; Found 404.1493.

cis-3-(N-Benzoylamino)-6-methoxy-4-(3'-methoxy-phenyl)chroman-2-one (3i). The Standard Procedure 1 was followed by use of oxazol-5-one **6d**⁶⁹ (53.3 mg, 0.191 mmol, 1.5 equiv), 4methoxyphenol 5f (15.8 mg, 0.127 mmol, 1.0 equiv), and AlCl₃ (42.5 mg, 0.318 mmol, 2.5 equiv) in toluene (3.0 mL). After the reaction mixture was stirred for 4.0 h and worked up, the residue was purified by use of column chromatography (5.0% EtOAc in hexanes as the eluent) to give chroman-2-one 3i (37.4 mg, 92.7 µmol) in 75% yield as white solids: mp (recrystallized from EtOH) 117.2-118.3 °C; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz) δ 7.72–7.70 (m, 2 H, 2 × ArH), 7.51 (tt, J = 7.2, 1.2 Hz, 1 H, ArH), 7.44–7.40 (m, 2 H, 2 × ArH), 7.16 (t, J = 8.0 Hz, 1 H, ArH), 7.13 (d, J = 9.2 Hz, 1 H, ArH), 6.89 (dd, J = 9.2, 3.2 Hz, 1 H, ArH), 6.85–6.77 (m, 1 H, ArH), 6.72 (d, J = 2.4 Hz, 1 H, ArH) 6.68 (d, J = 6.2 Hz, 1 H, NH), 6.57 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1 H, ArH), 6.52 (t, J = 2.4 Hz, 1 H, ArH), 5.30 (dd, J = 6.2, 6.2 Hz, 1 H, CHN), 4.81 (d, J = 6.2 Hz, 1 H, CHPh), 3.73 (s, 3 H, OCH₃), 3.60 (s, 3 H, OCH₃); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 100 MHz) δ 167.4 (C=O), 167.2 (C= O), 159.9, 156.9, 144.3, 137.7, 133.3, 132.0, 130.0, 128.6, 127.3, 127.0, 125.2, 120.3, 118.0, 115.4, 113.8, 113.5, 55.6 (OCH₃), 55.0 (OCH₃), 53.6 (CHN), 45.2 (CPh); IR (neat) 3366-3357 (m, NH), 1763 (s, C=O), 1663 (s, C=O), 1492 (s), 1356 (m), 1199 (s), 787 cm⁻¹;

HRMS (ESI-TOF) m/z [M]⁺ Calcd for C₂₄H₂₁NO₅ 403.1420; Found 403.1419.

cis-3-(N-Benzoylamino)-6-methoxy-4-(4'-methoxy-phenyl)chroman-2-one (3j). The Standard Procedure 1 was followed by use of oxazol-5(4H)-one **6e**⁶⁸ (55.3 mg, 0.198 mmol, 1.5 equiv), 4methoxyphenol 5f (16.4 mg, 0.132 mmol, 1.0 equiv), and \mbox{AlCl}_3 (44.6 mg, 0.331 mmol, 2.5 equiv) in toluene (2.0 mL). After the reaction mixture was stirred for 4.0 h and worked up, the residue was purified by use of column chromatography (5.0% EtOAc in hexanes as the eluent) to give chroman-2-one 3j (43.2 mg, 0.107 mmol) in 81% yield as white solids: mp (recrystallized from MeOH) 141.6-142.5 °C; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz) δ 7.73–7.70 (m, 2 H, 2 × ArH), 7.53 (tt, J = 7.6, 1.6 Hz, 1 H, ArH), 7.44-7.40 (m, 2 H, 2 × ArH), 7.13 (d, J = 9.2 Hz, 1 H, ArH), 6.91–6.87 (m, 3 H, 3 × ArH), 6.78 (t, J = 2.8 Hz, 1 H, ArH), 6.75 (d, I = 5.6 Hz, 1 H, ArH), 6.71 (d, I = 3.2 Hz, 1 H, ArH), 6.68 (d, J = 6.2 Hz, 1 H, NH), 5.28 (dd, J = 6.2 6.2 Hz, 1 H, CHN), 4.77 (d, J = 6.2 Hz, 1 H, CHPh), 3.74 (s, 3 H, OCH₃), 3.72 (s, 3 H, OCH₃); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 100 MHz) δ 167.6 (C=O), 167.3 (C=O), 159.3, 156.9, 144.4, 133.4, 132.1, 129.4, 128.7, 128.2, 127.1, 125.7, 118.0, 115.3, 114.4, 113.5, 55.7 (OCH₃), 55.2 (OCH₃), 53.9 (CHN), 44.5 (CPh); IR (neat) 3370-3357 (m, NH), 1763 (s, C= O), 1663 (s, C=O), 1492 (s), 1356 (m), 1199 (s), 850 cm⁻¹; HRMS (ESI-TOF) m/z [M + H]⁺ Calcd for C₂₄H₂₁NO₅H 404.1498; Found 404,1490

cis-3-(N-Benzoylamino)-4-(3',4'-dimethoxyphenyl)-6-methoxychroman-2-one (3k). The Standard Procedure 1 was followed by use of oxazol-5(4H)-one 6f⁷⁰ (53.6 mg, 0.173 mmol, 1.5 equiv), 4methoxyphenol Sf (14.3 mg, 0.115 mmol, 1.0 equiv), and AlCl₃ (38.3 mg, 0.287 mmol, 2.5 equiv) in toluene (3.5 mL). After the reaction mixture was stirred for 4.0 h and worked up, the residue was purified by use of column chromatography (10% EtOAc in hexanes as the eluent) to give chroman-2-one 3k (38.8 mg, 0.0895 mmol) in 78% yield as white solids: mp (recrystallized from EtOH) 125.3-126.2 °C; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz) δ 7.77–7.70 (m, 2 H, 2 × ArH), 7.53 (tt, J = 7.2, 1.6 Hz, 1 H, ArH), 7.43–7.39 (m, 2 H, 2 × ArH), 7.13 (d, *J* = 8.8 Hz, 1 H, ArH), 6.88 (dd, *J* = 8.8, 2.8 Hz, 1 H, ArH), 6.73–6.70 (m, 3 H, $2 \times \text{ArH} + 1 \times \text{NH}$), 6.50 (d, J = 2.0 Hz, 1 H, ArH), 6.47 (dd, J = 8.0, 2.0 Hz, 1 H, ArH), 5.26 (dd, J = 6.6, 6.6 Hz, 1 H, CHN), 4.80 (d, J = 6.6 Hz, 1 H, CHPh), 3.78 (s, 3 H, OCH₃), 3.74 (s, 3 H, OCH₃), 3.57 (s, 3 H, OCH₃); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 100 MHz) δ 167.5 (C=O), 167.1 (C=O), 156.9, 149.0, 148.7, 144.3, 133.3, 132.1, 129.2, 128.7, 127.0, 125.4, 119.9, 118.0, 115.3, 113.8, 113.5, 111.5, 55.8 (OCH₃), 55.6 (OCH₃), 55.5 (OCH₃), 53.9 (CHN), 44.7 (CPh); IR (neat) 3376-3357 (m, NH), 1766 (s, C=O), 1655 (s, C=O), 1493 (s), 1138 (m), 1356 (m), 720 (m) cm⁻¹; HRMS (ESI-TOF) m/z[M]⁺ Calcd for C₂₅H₂₃NO₆ 433.1525; Found 433.1528.

cis-3-(N-Benzoylamino)-6-methoxy-4-(4'-fluoro-phenyl)chroman-2-one (31). The Standard Procedure 1 was followed by use of oxazol-5-one $6g^{68}$ (51.5 mg, 0.192 mmol, 1.5 equiv), 4-methoxyphenol 5f (16.1 mg, 0.128 mmol, 1.0 equiv), and $AlCl_3$ (43.2 mg, 0.321 mmol, 2.5 equiv) in toluene (2.0 mL). After the reaction mixture was stirred for 3.5 h and worked up, the residue was purified by use of column chromatography (5.0% EtOAc in hexanes as the eluent) to give chroman-2-one 31 (35.6 mg, 0.0909 mmol) in 71% yield as white solids: mp (recrystallized from EtOH) 169.3-170.1 °C; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz) δ 7.72–7.70 (m, 2 H, 2 × ArH), 7.51 (tt, J = 7.2, 1.6 Hz, 1 H, ArH), 7.44–7.41 (m, 2 H, 2 × ArH), 7.15 (d, J = 9.2 Hz, 1 H, ArH), 6.94–6.89 (m, 5 H, 5 × ArH), 6.72 (d, J = 2.8Hz, 1 H, ArH), 6.70 (d, J = 6.4 Hz, 1 H, NH), 5.26 (dd, J = 6.4, 6.4 Hz, 1 H, CHN), 4.86 (d, J = 6.4 Hz, 1 H, CHPh), 3.74 (s, 3 H, OCH₃); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 100 MHz) δ 167.3 (C=O), 167.3 (C= O), 162.2 (d, J_{C-F} = 246 Hz), 157.0, 144.3, 133.2, 132.2, 132.1, 129.9 $(d, J_{C-F} = 8.0 \text{ Hz}), 128.7, 127.0, 125.1, 118.1, 115.9 (d, J_{C-F} = 22 \text{ Hz}),$ 115.5, 113.6, 55.7 (OCH₃), 53.8 (CHN), 44.3 (CPh); IR (neat) 3369-3358 (m, NH), 2918 (s), 1764 (s, C=O), 1657 (s, C=O), 1492 (s), 1356 (m), 1031 (w) cm⁻¹; HRMS (ESI-TOF) m/z [M]⁺ Calcd for C₂₃H₁₈FNO₄ 391.1220; Found 391.1219.

cis-3-(*N*-Benzoylamino)-6-methoxy-4-methyl-chroman-2one (3m). The Standard Procedure 1 was followed by use of oxazol-5(4H)-one $6i^{71}$ (54.5 mg, 0.279 mmol, 1.5 equiv), 4-methoxyphenol

5f (23.1 mg, 0.186 mmol, 1.0 equiv), and AlCl₃ (62.2 mg, 0.465 mmol, 2.5 equiv) in toluene (2.0 mL). After the reaction mixture was stirred for 4.0 h and worked up, the residue was purified by use of column chromatography (5.0% EtOAc in hexanes as the eluent) to give chroman-2-one 3m (42.3 mg, 0.135 mmol) in 73% yield as white solids: mp (recrystallized from EtOH) 154.3-156.7 °C; ¹H NMR $(\text{CDCl}_3, 400 \text{ MHz}) \delta$ 7.85 (d, J = 7.4 Hz, 2 H, 2 × ArH), 7.52 (tt, J = 7.4, 1.1 Hz, 1 H, ArH), 7.48–7.44 (m, 2 H, 2 \times ArH), 7.14 (d, I = 5.2Hz, 1 H, NH), 7.00 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 1 H, ArH), 6.80 (dd, J = 8.8, 2.8 Hz, 1 H, ArH), 6.74 (d, J = 2.8 Hz, 1 H, ArH), 5.02 (dd, J = 5.2, 5.2 Hz, 1 H, CHN), 3.79 (s, 3 H, OCH₃), 3.74-3.67 (m, 1 H, CHMe), 1.15 (d, J = 7.2 Hz, 3 H, CH₃); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 100 MHz) δ 167.5 (C=O), 167.2 (C=O), 156.8, 143.5, 133.3, 132.2, 128.7, 128.4, 127.1, 117.9, 114.1, 113.1, 55.7 (OCH₃), 53.5 (CHN), 34.6 (CMe), 15.5 (CH₃); IR (neat) 3376-3361 (m, NH), 1768 (s, C=O), 1655 (s, C=O), 1496 (s), 1358 (m), 1199 (s), 720 cm⁻¹; HRMS (ESI-TOF) $m/z [M + H]^+$ Calcd for $C_{18}H_{17}NO_4H$ 312.1236; Found 312 1231

cis-3-(N-Benzoylamino)-4-ethyl-6-methoxy-chroman-2-one (3n). The Standard Procedure 1 was followed by use of oxazol-5(4H)one 6i⁷² (52.5 mg, 0.261 mmol, 1.5 equiv), 4-methoxyphenol 5f (21.6 mg, 0.174 mmol, 1.0 equiv), and AlCl₃ (58.6 mg, 0.435 mmol, 2.5 equiv) in toluene (2.0 mL). After the reaction mixture was stirred for 4.0 h and worked up, the residue was purified by use of column chromatography (5.0% EtOAc in hexanes as the eluent) to give chroman-2-one 3n (42.3 mg, 0.131 mmol) in 75% yield as white solids: mp (recrystallized from EtOH) 151.2-152.3 °C; ¹H NMR $(CDCl_{3}, 400 \text{ MHz}) \delta 7.87 - 7.84 \text{ (m, 2 H, 2 × ArH)}, 7.54 \text{ (tt, } J = 7.2,$ 1.2 Hz, 1 H, ArH), 7.48–7.44 (m, 2 H, ArH), 7.16 (d, J = 5.2 Hz, 1 H, NH), 7.02 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 1 H, ArH), 6.82 (dd, J = 8.8, 2.8 Hz, 1 H, ArH), 6.71 (d, J = 2.8 Hz, 1 H, ArH), 5.03 (dd, J = 5.2, 5.2 Hz, 1 H, CHN), 3.79 (s, 3 H, OCH₃), 3.44 (ddd, J = 10.8, 5.2, 3.6 Hz, 1 H, CHEt), 1.81-1.74 (m, 1 H, CHHMe), 1.29-1.21 (m, 1 H, CHHMe), 0.88 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 3 H, CH₃); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 100 MHz) δ 168.9 (C=O), 167.1 (C=O), 156.4, 143.8, 133.4, 132.2, 128.7, 127.2, 126.6, 117.8, 114.7, 114.0, 55.7 (OCH₃), 53.2 (CHN), 41.2 (CHEt), 21.9 (CH₂Me), 11.1 (CH₃); IR (neat) 3380-3361 (m, NH), 1767 (s, C=O), 1651 (s, C=O), 1489 (s), 1359 (m), 1199 (s), 760 cm⁻¹; HRMS (ESI-TOF) m/z [M + H]⁺ Calcd for C₁₀H₁₀NO₄H 326.1392; Found 326.1384.

cis-3-(N-Benzoylamino)-4-isobutyl-6-methoxy-chroman-2one (30). The Standard Procedure 1 was followed by use of oxazol-5(4H)-one $\mathbf{6j}^{73}$ (53.3 mg, 0.232 mmol, 1.5 equiv), 4-methoxyphenol 5f (19.5 mg, 0.154 mmol, 1.0 equiv), and AlCl₃ (51.3 mg, 0.385 mmol, 2.5 equiv) in toluene (2.0 mL). After the reaction mixture was stirred for 3.5 h and worked up, the residue was purified by use of column chromatography (5.0% EtOAc in hexanes as the eluent) to give chroman-2-one 30 (43.6 mg, 0.123 mmol) in 80% yield as white solids; mp (recrystallized from EtOH) 158.3-160.2 °C; ¹H NMR $(CDCl_3, 400 \text{ MHz}) \delta 7.86 - 7.84 \text{ (m, 2 H, 2 × ArH)}, 7.52 \text{ (tt, } I = 7.2, I)$ 1.2 Hz, 1 H, ArH), 7.48–7.44 (m, 2 H, 2 × ArH), 7.13 (d, J = 5.2 Hz, 1 H, NH), 7.01 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 1 H, ArH), 6.80 (dd, J = 8.8, 2.8 Hz, 1 H, ArH), 6.72 (d, J = 3.2 Hz, 1 H, ArH), 5.02 (dd, J = 5.2, 5.2 Hz, 1 H, CHN), 3.78 (s, 3 H, OCH₃), 3.60 (ddd, J = 11.6, 5.2, 3.6 Hz, 1 H, CHBuⁱ), 1.58-1.52 (m, 1 H, CHMe₂), 1.38 (ddd, J = 13.2, 10.4, 3.6Hz, 1 H, CHHCH), 1.24–1.18 (m, 1 H, CHHCH), 0.96 (d, J = 6.4 Hz, 3 H, CH₃), 0.92 (d, J = 6.4 Hz, 3 H, CH₃); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 100 MHz) δ 168.8 (C=O), 167.1 (C=O), 156.3, 143.6, 133.4, 132.1, 128.7, 127.5, 127.1, 117.89, 114.2, 113.6, 55.7 (OCH₃), 53.2 (CHN), 38.0, 37.5, 24.8, 23.8 (CH₃), 21.3 (CH₃); IR (neat) 3410-3400 (m, NH), 1766 (s, C=O), 1657 (s, C=O), 1489 (s), 1358 (m), 1199 (s) cm⁻¹; HRMS (ESI-TOF) m/z [M + H]⁺ Calcd for C₂₁H₂₃NO₄H 354.1705: Found 354.1697.

cis-3-(*N*-Benzoylamino)-6,7-methylenedioxy-4-phenylchroman-2-one (3p). The Standard Procedure 1 was followed by use of oxazol-5(4*H*)-one $6a^{68}$ (52.6 mg, 0.211 mmol, 1.5 equiv), 3,4-(Methylenedioxy)phenol 5g (19.4 mg, 0.141 mmol, 1.0 equiv), and AlCl₃ (47.1 mg, 0.352 mmol, 2.5 equiv) in toluene (2.0 mL). After the reaction mixture was stirred for 4.0 h and worked up, the residue was purified by use of column chromatography (7.0% EtOAc in hexanes as

the eluent) to give chroman-2-one **3p** (47.2 mg, 0.121 mmol) in 86% yield as white solids: mp (recrystallized from EtOH) 148.6–149.5 °C; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz) δ 7.68 (d, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 2 H, 2 × ArH), 7.51 (t, *J* = 7.0 Hz, 1 H, ArH), 7.41 (t, *J* = 7.7 Hz, 2 H, 2 × ArH), 7.25–7.24 (m, 3 H, 3 × ArH), 6.99–6.96 (m, 2 H, 2 × ArH), 6.65 (s, 1 H, ArH), 6.62–6.59 (m, 2 H, 1 × ArH + 1 × NH), 5.99 (d, *J* = 12.0 Hz, 2 H, OCH₂O), 5.33 (dd, *J* = 6.6, 6.6 Hz, 1 H, CHN), 4.73 (d, *J* = 6.6 Hz, 1 H, CHPh); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 100 MHz) δ 167.3 (C=O), 167.2 (C=O), 148.2, 145.2, 145.1, 136.5, 133.4, 132.1, 129.0, 128.7, 128.2, 128.1, 127.1, 116.7, 108.1, 102.0, 99.0 (OCH₂O), 5.3.6 (CHN), 45.0 (CPh); IR (neat) 3366–3357 (m, NH), 1769 (s, C=O), 1663 (s, C=O), 1481 (s), 1241 (m), 1170 (s), 703 (m) cm⁻¹; HRMS (ESI-TOF) *m*/*z* [M]⁺ Calcd for C₂₃H₁₇NO₅ 387.1107; Found 387.1108.

cis-3-(N-Benzoylamino)-6-iodo-4-phenylchroman-2-one (3q). The Standard Procedure 1 was followed by use of oxazol-5(4H)one 6a⁶⁸ (55.3 mg, 0.222 mmol, 1.5 equiv), 4-iodophenol 5h (32.6 mg, 0.148 mmol, 1.0 equiv), and AlCl₃ (49.6 mg, 0.371 mmol, 2.5 equiv) in toluene (2.0 mL). After the reaction mixture was stirred 3.5 h and worked up, the residue was purified by use of column chromatography (2.0% EtOAc in hexanes as the eluent) to give chroman-2-one 3q (45.1 mg, 0.0962 mmol) in 65% yield as white solids: mp (recrystallized from MeOH) 153.4-155.2 °C; ¹H NMR $(CDCl_{2}, 400 \text{ MHz}) \delta 7.73 - 7.71 \text{ (m, 2 H, 2 × ArH)}, 7.50 \text{ (tt, } I = 7.6,$ 1.6 Hz, 1 H, ArH), 7.46–7.37 (m, 3 H, 3 × ArH), 7.28–7.24 (m, 4 H, 4 × ArH), 7.21 (dd, J = 7.2, 1.2 Hz, 1 H, ArH), 7.20-6.99 (m, 2 H, 2 × ArH), 6.64 (d, J = 6.4 Hz, 1 H, NH), 5.37 (dd, J = 6.4, 6.4 Hz, 1 H, CHN), 4.88 (d, J = 6.4 Hz, 1 H, CHPh); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 100 MHz) δ 167.4 (C=O), 167.3 (C=O), 150.6, 136.5, 133.4, 132.1, 129.6, 129.5, 129.0, 128.7, 128.3, 128.1, 127.1, 125.6, 124.5, 117.1, 53.7 (CHN), 45.1 (CPh); IR (neat) 3537-3525 (m, NH), 1769 (s, C= O), 1660 (s, C=O), 1514 (s), 1485 (s), 1165 (s), 753 cm⁻¹; HRMS (ESI-TOF) m/z [M]⁺ Calcd for C₂₂H₁₆INO₃ 469.0175; Found 469.0174.

cis-2-(N-Benzoylamino)-1-phenyl-3H-benzo[f]-chroman-3one (3r). The Standard Procedure 1 was followed by use of oxazol-5(4H)-one **6a**⁶⁸ (50.4 mg, 0.202 mmol, 1.5 equiv), 2-naphthol **5i** (19.4 mg, 0.134 mmol, 1.0 equiv), and AlCl₃ (44.8 mg, 0.335 mmol, 2.5 equiv) in toluene (2.0 mL). After the reaction mixture was stirred for 4.0 h and worked up, the residue was purified by use of column chromatography (5.0% EtOAc in hexanes as the eluent) to give chroman-2-one 3r (43.8 mg, 0.111 mmol) in 83% yield as white solids: mp (recrystallized from MeOH) 238.5–240.2 °C; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz) δ 7.89 (d, J = 9.2 Hz, 1 H, ArH), 7.84 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 1 H, ArH), 7.80 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 1 H, ArH), 7.72 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 2 H, 2 × ArH), 7.53 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 1 H, ArH), 7.47–7.41 (m, 4 H, 4 × ArH), 7.38 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 1 H, ArH), 7.26–7.22 (m, 3 H, 3 × ArH), 7.13– 7.10 (m, 2 H, 2 × ArH), 6.67 (d, J = 5.2 Hz, 1 H, NH), 5.53-5.46 (m, 2 H, CHN + CHPh); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 100 MHz) δ 167.3 (C=O), 167.2 (C=O), 148.4, 135.8, 133.3, 132.1, 131.3, 130.9, 130.5, 129.1, 128.7, 128.6, 128.3, 128.2, 127.6, 127.1, 125.6, 123.3, 117.7, 116.8, 53.5 (CHN), 42.0 (CPh); IR (neat) 3368–3359 (m, NH), 1770 (s, C= O), 1660 (s, C=O), 1515 (s), 1486 (m), 1360 (m), 1222 (m) cm⁻¹; HRMS (ESI-TOF) m/z [M]⁺ Calcd for C₂₆H₁₉NO₃ 393.1365; Found 393.1366.

Standard Procedure 2 for the Preparation of *N*-Protected Amino Acids. To a stirred solution of chroman-2-one (1.0 equiv) in THF/H₂O (3:1, 3.0 mL) was added NaHCO₃ (s, 10 equiv) and the reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 12 h. After consumption of the starting material, the reaction mixture was cooled to 0 °C and the pH value of reaction was adjusted carefully to ~2 with aqueous HCl (2.0 N) during which time a lot of bubbles came out. The product was extracted with EtOAc (3 × 15 mL), combined organic layers were washed with water (5.0 mL), saturated NaCl solution (5.0 mL), dried over MgSO₄ (s), filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure to afford the residue. The residue was crystallized form aqueous EtOH and dried under reduced pressure over P_2O_5 to give the desired *N*-protected amino acids.

(25R,35R)-2-(N-Benzoylamino)-3-(2-hydroxy-3,5-dimethylphenyl)-3-phenylpropionic Acid (9a). The Standard Procedure 2 was followed by use of chroman-2-one 3d (50.5 mg, 0.136 mmol, 1.0

equiv) and NaHCO₃ (s, 144 mg, 0.136 mmol, 10 equiv) in THF/H₂O (3:1, 3.0 mL). After the reaction mixture was stirred and worked up, the solids were purified by crystallization (70% EtOH in water) to give the N-protected amino acid 9a (51.6 mg, 0.134 mmol) in 91% yield as white solids: TLC R₆ 0.15 (EtOAc as the eluent); mp (recrystallized from aqueous EtOH) 184.2–186.1 °C; ¹H NMR (CD₃OD, 400 MHz) δ 7.78–7.75 (m, 2 H, 2 × ArH), 7.46 (tt, J = 7.6, 0.81 Hz, 1 H, ArH), 7.47-7.43 (m, 2 H, 2 × ArH), 7.30-7.26 (m, 3 H, 3 × ArH), 7.03-7.01 (m, 2 H, 2 \times ArH), 6.93 (d, J = 2.8 Hz, 2 H, 2 \times ArH), 5.43 (d, J = 6.8 Hz, 1 H, CHN), 4.83 (d, J = 6.8 Hz, 1 H, CHPh), 2.36 (s, 3 H, CH₃), 2.13 (s, 3 H, CH₃); ¹³C NMR (CD₃OD, 100 MHz) δ 170.2 (COO), 168.2 (C=O), 152.3, 140.8, 138.5, 137.7, 134.9, 133.2, 130.1, 129.8, 129.7, 129.1, 128.9, 128.6, 121.7, 116.0, 55.0 (CHN), 43.8 (CPh), 21.1(CH₃), 18.6 (CH₃); IR (KBr) 3500-3410 (s, NH + OH), 2926 (m), 1718 (s, C=O), 1645 (s, C=O), 1178 (w), 1071 (s), 709 (m) cm⁻¹; HRMS (ESI-TOF) m/z [M – H]⁻ Calcd for C₂₄H₂₃NO₄H 388.1549: Found 388.1550.

(2SR,3SR)-2-(N-Benzoylamino)-3-(2-hydroxy-5-methoxyphenyl)-3-phenylpropionic Acid (9b). The Standard Procedure 2 was followed by use of chroman-2-one 3f (35.5 mg, 95.1 µmol, 1.0 equiv) and NaHCO3 (s, 80.5 mg, 0.951 mmol, 10 equiv) in THF/H2O (3:1, 3.0 mL). After the reaction mixture was stirred and worked up, the solids were purified by crystallization (70% EtOH in water) to give the N-protected amino acid 9b (34.6 mg, 88.4 μ mol) in 90% yield as white solids: TLC Rf 0.12 (EtOAc as the eluent); mp (recrystallized from aqueous EtOH) 203.3–205.2 °C; ¹H NMR (CD₃OD, 400 MHz) δ 7.58–7.54 (m, 2 H, 2 × ArH), 7.47 (tt, J = 7.6, 1.2 Hz, 1 H, ArH), 7.40-7.36 (m, 4 H, 4 × ArH), 7.35-7.31 (m, 4 H, 4 × ArH), 7.09 (d, J = 9.2 Hz, 1 H, 1 × ArH), 6.88 (m, 1 H, 1 × ArH), 5.29 (d, J = 13.6Hz, 1 H, CHN), 4.67 (d, J = 13.6 Hz, 1 H, CHPh), 3.61 (s, 3 H, OCH₃); ¹³C NMR (CD₃OD, 100 MHz) δ 172.1 (COO), 168.8 (C= O), 152.9, 148.7, 144.5, 140.7, 133.9, 131.3, 129.1, 128.3, 128.0, 127.0, 126.2, 115.5, 114.4, 112.7. 55.5 (OCH₃), 54.8 (CHN), 46.3 (CPh); IR (KBr) 3500-3330 (s, NH), 3330-3310 (s, OH), 2807 (m), 1717 (s, C=O), 1661 (s, C=O), 1308 (m), 1206 (w), 792 (m) cm⁻¹; HRMS (ESI-TOF) $m/z [M - H]^-$ Calcd for C₂₃H₂₁NO₅H 390.1341; Found 390.1344

(2SR,3SR)-2-(N-Benzoylamino)-3-(4-fluorophenyl)-3-(2-hydroxy-5-methoxyphenyl)propionic Acid (9c). The Standard Procedure 2 was followed by use of chroman-2-one 3l (33.6 mg, 85.9 µmol, 1.0 equiv) and NaHCO3 (s, 72.4 mg, 0.859 mmol, 10 equiv) in THF/H₂O (3:1, 3.0 mL). After the reaction mixture was stirred and worked up, the solids were purified by crystallization (70% EtOH in water) to give the N-protected amino acid 9c (32.1 mg, 78.4 μ mol) in 93% yield as white solids: TLC R_f 0.21 (EtOAc as the eluent); mp (recrystallized from aqueous EtOH) 193.7-195.6 °C; ¹H NMR (CD₃OD, 400 MHz) δ 7.64–7.61 (m, 2 H, 2 × ArH), 7.48 (m, 1 H, ArH), 7.41–7.36 (m, 4 H, 4 × ArH), 7.02–6.96 (m, 2 H, 2 × ArH), 6.84 (d, J = 3.0 Hz, 1 H, ArH), 6.72 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1 H, ArH), 6.65 (dd, J = 8.0, 3.0 Hz, 1 H, ArH), 5.44 (d, J = 10.8 Hz, 1 H, CHN), 4.94 (d, J = 10.8 Hz, 1 H, CHPh), 3.67 (s, 3 H, CH₃); ¹³C NMR (CD₃OD, 100 MHz) δ 174.5 (COO), 170.0 (C=O), 163.1 (d, J_{C-F} = 241 Hz), 154.6, 149.9, 137.4 (d, J_{C-F} = 8.4 Hz), 135.3, 132.8, 131.4, 131.3, 129.5, 128.6, 117.3, 116.2, 115.8 (d, J_{C-F} = 21 Hz), 114.6, 57.8 (OCH₃), 56.2 (CHN), 48.0 (CPh); IR (KBr) 3400–3100 (m, NH + OH), 2917 (m), 1701 (s, C=O), 1626 (s, C=O), 1482 (m), 1236 (s), 737 (s) cm⁻¹; HRMS (ESI-TOF) m/z [M – H]⁻ Calcd for C23H20FNO5H 408.1247; Found 408.1251.

(2*SR*, 3*SR*)-2-(*N*-Benzoylamino)-3-(2-hydroxy-5methoxyphenyl)pentanoic Acid (9d). The Standard Procedure 2 was followed by use of chroman-2-one 3n (40.6 mg, 0.125 mmol, 1.0 equiv) and NaHCO₃ (s, 0.105 g, 1.25 mmol, 10 equiv) in THF/H₂O (3:1, 3.0 mL). After the reaction mixture was stirred and worked up, the solids were purified by crystallization (90% EtOH in water) to give the *N*-protected amino acid 9d (39.3 mg, 0.114 mmol) in 85% yield as white solids: TLC R_f 0.26 (EtOAc as the eluent); mp (recrystallized from aqueous EtOH) 174.8–176.5 °C; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz) δ 7.69 (d, J = 7.4 Hz, 2 H, 2 × ArH), 7.40 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 2 H, ArH), 7.31–7.28 (m, 2 H, 1 × ArH + 1 × NH), 6.75 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 1 H, 1 × ArH), 6.64 (d, J = 2.4 Hz, 1 H, ArH), 6.57 (dd, J = 8.8, 2.4 Hz, 1 H, 1 × ArH), 4.80 (dd, J = 6.0, 6.0 Hz, 1 H, CHN), 3.65 (s, 3 H, OCH₃), 3.47–3.38 (m, 1 H, CHEt), 1.90–1.78 (m, 2 H, CH₂CH₃), 0.81 (t, J = 6.8 Hz, 3 H, CH₃); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 100 MHz) δ 175.1 (COO), 168.5 (C=O), 153.1, 148.8, 133.0, 132.0, 128.6, 127.2, 126.1, 116.8, 115.4, 113.2, 57.3 (OCH₃), 55.7 (CHN), 43.8 (CPh), 23.1 (CH₂), 12.2 (CH₃); IR (KBr) 3500–3310 (m, NH + OH), 3065 (m), 1694 (s, C=O), 1665 (s, C=O), 1416 (s), 1233 (s), 1007 (m) cm⁻¹; HRMS (ESI-TOF) m/z [M – H][–] Calcd for C₁₉H₂₁NO₃H 342.1341; Found 342.1343.

(2SR,3SR)-2-(N-Benzoylamino)-3-(2-hydroxy-5-methoxyphenyl)-5-methylhexanoic Acid (9e). The Standard Procedure 2 was followed by use of chroman-2-one 30 (35.3 mg, 0.101 mmol, 1.0 equiv) and NaHCO₃ (s, 85.4 mg, 1.01 mmol, 10 equiv) in THF/H₂O (3:1, 3.0 mL). After the reaction mixture was stirred and worked up, the solids were purified by crystallization (90% EtOH in water) to give the N-protected amino acid 9e (33.5 mg, 90.5 μ mol) in 88% yield as white solids: TLC R_f 0.22 (EtOAc as the eluent); mp (recrystallized from aqueous EtOH) 168.3–170.1 °C; ¹H NMR (CD₃OD, 400 MHz) δ 7.73–7.71 (m, 2 H, 2 × ArH), 7.40 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 1 H, ArH), 7.45– 7.41 (m, 2 H, 2 \times ArH), 6.76 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 1 H, 1 \times ArH), 6.70 (d, J = 2.8 Hz, 1 H, ArH), 6.66 (dd, J = 8.8, 2.8 Hz, 1 H, 1 × ArH), 4.70 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 1 H, CHN), 3.69 (s, 3 H, OCH₃), 3.63–3.56 (m, 1 H, CHBuⁱ), 2.12–2.05 (m, 1 H, CHHCH), 1.56–1.49 (m, 1 H, CHHCH), 1.48–1.38 (m, 1 H, CHMe₂), 0.89 (d, J = 6.4 Hz, 3 H, CH₃), 0.87 (d, J = 6.4 Hz, 3 H, CH₃); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 100 MHz) δ 174.9 (COO), 169.7 (C=O), 154.6, 150.2, 135.1, 132.9, 129.6, 128.1, 117.5, 117.2, 114.2, 60.0, 56.1 (OCH₃), 42.9 (CHN), 40.6 (C Buⁱ), 26.8 (CH₂), 23.9 (CH₃), 21.9 (CH₃); IR (KBr) 3500-3200 (m, NH + OH), 2932 (m), 1692 (s, C=O), 1656 (s, C=O), 1484 (m), 1397 (m), 1111 (m) cm⁻¹; HRMS (ESI-TOF) m/z [M – H]⁻ Calcd for C21H25NO5H 370.1654; Found 370.1653.

(2SR,3SR)-2-(N-Benzoylamino)-3-(2-hydroxy-4,5-methylenedioxyphenyl)-3-phenylpropionic Acid (9f). The Standard Procedure 2 was followed by use of chroman-2-one 3p (42.3 mg, 0.109 mmol, 1.0 equiv) and NaHCO3 (s, 92.4 mg, 1.09 mmol, 10 equiv) in THF/H₂O (3:1, 3.0 mL). After the reaction mixture was and worked up, the solids were purified by crystallization (70% EtOH in water) to give the N-protected amino acid 9f (42.6 mg, 0.105 mmol) in 90% yield as white solids: TLC R_f 0.12 (EtOAc as the eluent); mp (recrystallized from aqueous EtOH) 195.4–197.1 °C; ¹H NMR $(CD_3SOCD_3, 400 \text{ MHz}) \delta 8.87 \text{ (d, } J = 8.4 \text{ Hz}, 1 \text{ H}, \text{ NH}), 7.64 \text{ (d, } J =$ 8.0 Hz, 2 H, 2 × ArH), 7.46 (t, J = 6.8 Hz, 1 H, ArH), 7.47–7.30 (m, 5 H, 5 × ArH), 7.27 (d, J = 6.4 Hz, 2 H, 2 × ArH), 6.91 (s, 1 H, ArH), $6.01-6.00 \text{ (m, 2 H, OCH}_2\text{O}), 5.89 \text{ (s, 1 H, ArH)}, ^{74} 5.24 \text{ (dd, } J = 12.8,$ 8.4, Hz, 1 H, CHN), 4.65 (d, J = 12.8 Hz, 1 H, CHPh); ¹³C NMR (CD₃OD, 100 MHz) δ 167.0 (COO), 166.2 (C=O), 147.1, 144.6, 143.8, 138.2, 133.6, 131.5, 129.1, 128.7, 128.3, 127.6, 127.1, 118.3, 106.8, 101.8, 98.7 (OCH₂O), 52.4 (CHN), 44.5 (CPh); IR (KBr) 3500-3250 (m, NH + OH), 2926 (w), 1718 (s, C=O), 1635 (s, C= O), 1314 (w), 1026 (m), 732 (w) cm⁻¹; HRMS (ESI-TOF) m/z [M – H][–] Calcd for C₂₃H₁₉NO₆H 404.1134; Found 404.1137.

Standard Procedure 3 for the Preparation of α -Amino Acids. To a solution of *N*-protected amino acid (1.0 equiv) in a mixture of aqueous HCl (12 N, 26 equiv) and methanol (= 1:2.3) was heated to 80 °C for 12 h. The reaction mixture was diluted with water (5.0 mL) and the pH value of solution was adjusted to ~6 with saturated aqueous NH₄OH. The amino acid precipitated was filtered, washed with diethyl ether, and dried under reduced pressure over P₂O₅. The free α -amino acid was further purified by crystallization from aqueous EtOH (70%).

(2*SR*,3*SR*)-2-Amino-3-(2-hydroxy-3,5-dimethyl-phenyl)-3phenylpropionic Acid (4a). The Standard Procedure 3 was followed by use of *N*-protected amino acid 9a (30.2 mg, 77.6 μmol, 1.0 equiv) in methanol (0.20 mL) and aqueous HCl (12 N, 2.01 mmol, 75.0 μL, 26 equiv). After the reaction mixture was stirred and worked up, the solids were purified by crystallization to give the *α*-amino acid 4a (18.6 mg, 65.2 μmol) in 85% yield as a brown gummy solids: ¹H NMR (CD₃OD, 400 MHz) δ 7.40–7.38 (m, 3 H, 3 × ArH), 7.19–7.17 (m, 2 H, 2 × ArH), 6.95 (d, *J* = 10 Hz, 2 H, 2 × ArH), 4.97 (d, *J* = 6.8 Hz, 1 H, CHN), 4.69 (d, *J* = 6.8 Hz, 1 H, CHPh), 2.35 (s, 3 H, CH₃), 2.15

(s, 3 H, CH₃); ¹³C NMR (CD₃OD, 100 MHz) δ 166.0 (COO), 151.8, 141.5, 138.3, 135.1, 130.9, 130.2, 129.8, 129.4, 120.6, 116.3, 53.9 (CHN), 43.4 (CPh), 21.1 (CH₃), 18.6 (CH₃); IR (KBr) 3500–3100 (m, NH₂ + OH), 2926, 1728 (s, C=O), 1595, 1379, 1287, 721 cm⁻¹; HRMS (ESI-TOF) *m*/*z* [M – H][–] Calcd for C₁₇H₁₉NO₃H 284.1287; Found 284.1285.

(2SR,3SR)-2-Amino-3-(2-hydroxy-5-methoxy-phenyl)-3-phenylpropionic Acid (4b). The Standard Procedure 3 was followed by use of N-protected amino acid 9b (30.2 mg, 77.2 μ mol, 1.0 equiv) in methanol (0.20 mL) and aqueous HCl (12 N, 2.01 mmol, 75.0 µL, 26 equiv). After the reaction mixture was stirred and worked up, the solids were purified by crystallization to give the α -amino acid 4b (17.8 mg, 62.3 μ mol) in 81% yield as white solids; mp (recrystallized from aqueous EtOH) 218.1–220.2 °C; ¹H NMR (\dot{CD}_3OD , 400 MHz) δ 7.39-7.36 (m, 3 H, 3 × ArH), 7.21-7.16 (m, 3 H, 3 × ArH), 6.98 $(dd, J = 12, 2.8 Hz, 1 H, 1 \times ArH), 6.84 (d, J = 2.8 Hz, 1 H, 1 \times ArH),$ 4.99 (d, J = 6.8 Hz, 1 H, CHN), 4.63 (d, J = 6.8 Hz, 1 H, CHPh), 3.74 (s, 3 H, OCH₃); ¹³C NMR (CD₃OD, 100 MHz) δ 167.3 (COO), 160.1, 146.6, 137.5, 132.1, 131.4, 130.6, 127.2, 120.6, 118.0, 115.6, 57.4 (OCH₃), 54.8 (CHN), 47.6 (CPh); IR (KBr) 3500-3150 (m, NH₂ + OH), 2978 (m), 1725 (s, C=O), 1451 (s), 1253 (m), 1157 (m), 773 (w) cm⁻¹; HRMS (ESI-TOF) m/z [M – H]⁻ Calcd for C₁₆H₁₇NO₄H 286.1079; Found 286.1075.

(2SR, 3SR)-2-Amino-3-(2-hydroxy-5-methoxy-phenyl)-3-(4fluorophenyl)propionic Acid (4c). The Standard Procedure 3 was followed by use of N-protected amino acid 9c (25.2 mg, 61.5 μ mol, 1.0 equiv) in methanol (0.15 mL) and aqueous HCl (12 N, 1.61 mmol, 60.0 μ L, 26 equiv). After the reaction mixture was stirred and worked up, the solids were purified by crystallization to give the α -amino acid 4c (16.5 mg, 54.1 μ mol) in 88% yield as white solids; mp (recrystallized from aqueous EtOH) 189.8-191.4 °C; ¹H NMR (CD₃OD, 400 MHz) δ 7.23–7.19 (m, 3 H, 3 × ArH), 7.14–7.10 (m, 2 H, 2 × ArH), 6.99 (dd, J = 9.2, 2.8 Hz, 1 H, ArH), 6.86 (d, J = 2.8Hz, 1 H, ArH), 4.99 (d, J = 6.4 Hz, 1 H, CHN), 4.70 (d, J = 6.4 Hz, 1 H, CHPh), 3.75 (s, 3 H, OCH₃); 13 C NMR (CD₃OD, 100 MHz) δ 166.1 (COO), 164.4 (d, J_{C-F} = 245 Hz), 158.9, 145.3, 132.3, 131.6 (d, $J_{C-F} = 8.0$ Hz), 125.9, 119.4, 117.7 (d, $J_{C-F} = 22$ Hz), 116.9, 114.4, 56.3 (OCH₃), 53.8 (CHN), 45.4 (CPh); IR (KBr) 3500-3100 (s, NH₂ + OH), 2922 (m), 1714 (s, C=O), 1567 (m), 1186 (w), 1074 (m), 785 (w) cm⁻¹; HRMS (ESI-TOF) m/z [M – H]⁻ Calcd for C₁₆H₁₆FNO₄H 304.0985; Found 304.0986.

(2SR,3SR)-2-Amino-3-(2-hydroxy-5-methoxy-phenyl)pentanoic Acid (4d). The Standard Procedure 3 was followed by use of N-protected amino acid 9d (32.2 mg, 93.8 µmol, 1.0 equiv) in methanol (0.21 mL) and aqueous HCl (12 N, 2.43 mmol, 90 μ L, 26 equiv). After the reaction mixture was stirred and worked up, the solids were purified by crystallization to give the α -amino acid 4d (17.7 mg, 74.1 μ mol) in 80% yield as white solids; mp (recrystallized from aqueous EtOH) 195.4–197.1 °C; ¹H NMR (\hat{CD}_3OD , 400 MHz) δ 7.08 (d, J = 9.2 Hz, 1 H, ArH), 6.94 (dd, J = 9.2, 2.8 Hz, 1 H, ArH), 6.84 (d, J = 2.8 Hz, 1 H, ArH), 4.64 (d, J = 5.6 Hz, 1 H, CHN), 3.80 (s, 3 H, OCH₃), 3.21-3.15 (m, 1 H, CHEt), 1.85-1.79 (m, 1 H, CHHMe), 1.33-1.16 (m, 1 H, CHHMe), 0.92 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 3 H, CH₃); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 100 MHz) δ 168.2 (COO), 158.2, 145.1, 125.9, 119.1, 115.7, 115.7, 56.3 (OCH₃), 53.3 (CHN), 41.7 (CEt), 22.8 (CH₂), 10.9 (CH₃); IR (KBr) 3450-3100 (m, NH₂ + OH), 2937 (m), 1712 (s, C=O), 1527 (m), 1263 (m), 1026 (m), 804 (w) cm⁻¹; HRMS (ESI-TOF) $m/z [M - H]^-$ Calcd for C₁₂H₁₇NO₄H 238.1079; Found 238,1079.

(2*SR*,3*SR*)-2-Amino-3-(2-hydroxy-5-methoxy-phenyl)-5methylhexanoic Acid (4e). The Standard Procedure 3 was followed by use of *N*-protected amino acid 9e (30.2 mg, 81.5 μmol, 1.0 equiv) in methanol (0.20 mL) and aqueous HCl (12 N, 2.12 mmol, 80.0 μL, 26 equiv). After the reaction mixture was stirred and worked up, the solids were purified by crystallization to give the α-amino acid 4e (17.8 mg, 66.8 μmol) in 82% yield as white solids; mp (recrystallized from aqueous EtOH) 180.3–182.5 °C; ¹H NMR (CD₃OD, 400 MHz) δ 7.08 (d, *J* = 8.8 Hz, 1 H, ArH), 6.94 (dd, *J* = 8.8, 2.8 Hz, 1 H, 1 × ArH), 6.82 (d, *J* = 2.8 Hz, 1 H, ArH), 4.60 (d, *J* = 5.2 Hz, 1 H, CHN), 3.80 (s, 3 H, OCH₃), 3.69–3.54 (m, 1 H, CHBuⁱ), 1.56–1.53 (m, 1 H, CHMe₂), 1.47–1.41 (m, 1 H, CHCHH), 1.23–1.15 (m, 1 H, CHCHH), 1.06 (d, J = 6.4 Hz, 3 H, CH₃), 0.85 (d, J = 6.4 Hz, 3 H, CH₃); ¹³C NMR (CD₃OD, 100 MHz) δ 167.0 (COO), 158.2, 144.9, 126.8, 119.3, 115.4, 115.3, 56.3 (OCH₃), 53.6 (CHN), 38.4 (CHBu¹), 38.3 (CHCH₂Me₂), 25.8 (CHMe₂), 24.2 (CH₃), 21.5 (CH₃); IR (KBr) 3500–3250 (m, NH₂), 3250–3100 (m, OH), 2961 (m), 1720 (s, C=O), 1462 (m), 1263 (m), 1020 (m), 757 (w) cm⁻¹; HRMS (ESI-TOF) m/z [M + H]⁺ Calcd for (C₁₄H₂₁NO₄H 268.1549; Found 268.1543.

(2SR,3SR)-2-Amino-3-(2-hydroxy-4,5-methylene-dioxyphenyl)-3-phenylpropionic Acid (4f). The Standard Procedure 3 was followed by use of N-protected amino acid 9f (32.2 mg, 79.4 μ mol, 1.0 equiv) in methanol (0.20 mL) and aqueous HCl (12 N, 2.06 mmol, 75.0 μ L, 26 equiv). After the reaction mixture was stirred and worked up, the solids were purified by crystallization to give the α -amino acid 4f (20.1 mg, 66.7 μ mol) in 84% yield as white solids; mp (recrystallized from aqueous EtOH) 199.6-201.5 °C; ¹H NMR (CD₃OD, 400 MHz) δ 7.40–7.35 (m, 3 H, 3 × ArH), 7.18–7.15 (m, 2 H, 2 × ArH), 6.81 (s, 1 H, ArH), 6.73 (s, 1 H, ArH), 6.01-5.97 (m, 2 H, OCH₂O), 4.99 (d, J = 6.8 Hz, 1 H, CHN), 4.54 (d, J = 6.8 Hz, 1 H, CHPh); ¹³C NMR (CD₃SOCD₃, 100 MHz) δ 165.8 (COO), 150.1, 147.0, 146.1, 136.3, 130.9, 130.1, 129.4, 117.3, 108.5, 103.7, 99.9 (OCH₂O), 53.6 (CHN), 45.9 (CPh); IR (KBr) 3450-3100 (m, NH₂) + OH), 2924 (m), 1708 (s, C=O), 1579 (w), 1273 (m), 1107 (m), 782 (w) cm⁻¹; HRMS (ESI-TOF) m/z [M – H]⁻ Calcd for C₁₆H₁₅NO₅H 300.0872; Found 300.0877.

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge on the ACS Publications website at DOI: 10.1021/acs.joc.7b00260.

Spectra of all new compounds; the heteronuclear singlequantum correlation experiment for compound 3d (PDF)

X-ray crystallographic data for 3d (CIF)

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

*E-mail: jrhwu@mx.nthu.edu.tw.

ORCID [©]

Jih Ru Hwu: 0000-0002-9225-8484

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Dedicated to Professor Lutz F. Tietze, an internationally regarded synthetic chemist, on the occasion of his 75th birthday. For financial support, we thank Ministry of Science and Technology (grant No. MOST 103-2113-M-007-018-MY3) and Ministry of Education of R.O.C. (grant Nos. 104N2011E1 and 104N2016E1).

REFERENCES

(1) Kamat, D. P.; Tilve, S. G.; Kamat, V. P.; Kirtany, J. K. Org. Prep. Proced. Int. 2015, 47, 1–79 and references cited therein.

(2) Takechi, M.; Tanaka, Y.; Takehara, M.; Nonaka, G.-I.; Nishioka, I. *Phytochemistry* **1985**, *24*, 2245–2250.

(3) Yao, C.-S.; Lin, M.; Wang, L. Chem. Pharm. Bull. 2006, 54, 1053–1057.

(4) Brenzan, M. A.; Nakamura, C. V.; Filho, B. P. D.; Ueda-Nakamura, T.; Young, M. C. M.; Côrrea, A. G.; Júnior, J. A.; dos Santos, A. O.; Cortez, D. A. G. Biomed. Pharmacother. **2008**, 62, 651–658.

(5) Zhang, X.-F.; Wang, H.-M.; Song, Y.-L.; Nie, L.-H.; Wang, L.-F.; Liu, B.; Shen, P.-P.; Liu, Y. *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* **2006**, *16*, 949– 953.

(6) Roelens, F.; Huvaere, K.; Dhooge, W.; Cleemput, M. V.; Comhaire, F.; Keukeleire, D. D. *Eur. J. Med. Chem.* **2005**, 40, 1042– 1051.

(7) Rotili, D.; Carafa, V.; Tarantino, D.; Botta, G.; Nebbioso, A.; Altucci, L.; Mai, A. *Bioorg. Med. Chem.* **2011**, *19*, 3659–3668.

(8) Singh, P.; Faridi, U.; Srivastava, S.; Kumar, J. K.; Darokar, M. P.; Luqman, S.; Shanker, K.; Chanotiya, C. S.; Gupta, A.; Gupta, M. M.; Negi, A. S. *Chem. Pharm. Bull.* **2010**, *58*, 242–246.

(9) Olaharski, A. J.; Rine, J.; Marshall, B. L.; Babiarz, J.; Zhang, L.; Verdin, E.; Smith, M. T. *PLoS Genet.* **2005**, *1*, e77.

(10) Iinuma, M.; Tanaka, T.; Mizuno, M.; Katsuzaki, T.; Ogawa, H. Chem. Pharm. Bull. **1989**, *37*, 1813–1815.

(11) Kumar, A.; Singh, B. K.; Tyagi, R.; Jain, S. K.; Sharma, S. K.;

Prasad, A. K.; Raj, H. G.; Rastogi, R. C.; Watterson, A. C.; Parmar, V. S. *Bioorg. Med. Chem.* **2005**, *13*, 4300–4305.

(12) Reinhold, D. F.; Markillie, J. H.; Sletzinger, M. U. S. Patent 3,161,655, Dec 15, 1964.

(13) Cabaret, D.; Adediran, S. A.; Gonzalez, M. J. G.; Pratt, R. F.; Wakselman, M. J. Org. Chem. **1999**, *64*, 713–720.

(14) Rico, J. G. Tetrahedron Lett. 1994, 35, 6599-6602.

(15) Bovy, P. R.; Rogers, T. E.; Miyano, M.; Rico, J. G. PCT Int. Appl. 5,441,974, Aug 15, 1995.

(16) McGuire, M. A.; Shilcrat, S. C.; Sorenson, E. Tetrahedron Lett. 1999, 40, 3293–3296.

(17) Chen, G.; Tokunaga, N.; Hayashi, T. Org. Lett. 2005, 7, 2285–2288.

(18) National Academy of Sciences. Energy. In Dietary Reference Intakes for Energy, Carbohydrate, Fiber, Fat, Fatty Acids, Cholesterol, Protein, and Amino Acids (Macronutritents); The National Academies Press: Washington, D.C., 2002; p 108.

(19) Wagner, I.; Musso, H. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1983, 22, 816-828.

(20) Stryer, L. DNA, RNA, and the Flow of Genetic Information. In *Biochemistry*, 2nd ed.; W. H. Freeman: San Francisco, 1981; p 620.

(21) Walsh, C. T.; O'Brien, R. V.; Khosla, C. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2013, 52, 7098-7124.

- (22) Williams, M. J. Int. Soc. Sports Nutr. 2005, 2, 63-67.
- (23) Köksal, A. I.; Dumanoğlu, H.; Günes, N. T.; Aktaş, M. Turk. J. Agric. For. **1999**, 23, 651–658.

(24) Garattini, S. J. Nutr. 2000, 130, 901S-909S.

(25) Leuchtenberger, W.; Huthmacher, K.; Drauz, K. Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol. 2005, 69, 1–8.

(26) Khan, W.; Muthupandian, S.; Farah, S.; Kumar, N.; Domb, A. J. *Macromol. Biosci.* 2011, *11*, 1625–1636.

(27) Jarvo, E. R.; Miller, S. J. Tetrahedron 2002, 58, 2481-2495.

(28) Doi, T.; Muraoka, T.; Oshiro, T.; Matsuda, D.; Yoshida, M.; Takahashi, T.; Ōmura, S.; Tomoda, H. Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. **2012**,

22, 696–699. (29) Itoh, Y.; Ishikawa, M.; Kitaguchi, R.; Okuhira, K.; Naito, M.;

Hashimoto, Y. Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 2012, 22, 4453-4457.

(30) Kwiatkowska, A.; Sobolewski, D.; Prahl, A.; Borovičková, L.; Slaninová, J.; Lammek, B. *Eur. J. Med. Chem.* **2009**, *44*, 2862–2867.

- (31) Patterson, D. E.; Powers, J. D.; LeBlanc, M.; Sharkey, T.; Boehler, E.; Irdam, E.; Osterhout, M. H. *Org. Process Res. Dev.* **2009**, *13*, 900–906.
- (32) Nilsson, M.; Hämäläinen, M.; Ivarsson, M.; Gottfries, J.; Xue, Y.; Hansson, S.; Isaksson, R.; Fex, T. J. Med. Chem. **2009**, *52*, 2708–2715.

(33) Baum, B.; Muley, L.; Heine, A.; Smolinski, M.; Hangauer, D.; Klebe, G. J. Mol. Biol. 2009, 391, 552–564.

(34) Cheng, L.; Goodwin, C. A.; Schully, M. F.; Kakkar, V. V.; Claeson, G. J. Med. Chem. **1992**, 35, 3364–3369.

(35) McCauley, J. A.; Crane, S.; Beaulieu, C.; Bennett, D. J.; Bungard, C. J.; Chang, R. K.; Greshock, T. J.; Hao, L.; Holloway, K.; Manikowski, J. J.; Mckay, D.; Molinaro, C.; Moradei, O. M.; Nantermet, P. G.; Nadeau, C.; Satyanarayana, T.; Shipe, W.; Singh, S. K.; Truong, V. L.; Vijayasaradhi, S.; Williams, P. D.; Wiscount, C. M. PCT Int. Appl. WO 2014/043019 A1, March 20, 2014.

(36) Boyd, M. J.; Molinaro, C.; Roy, A.; Truong, V. L. PCT Int. Appl. WO 2012/055031 A1, May 3, 2012.

(37) Rajapakse, H. A.; Walji, A. M.; Moore, K. P.; Zhu, H.; Mitra, A. W.; Gregro, A. R.; Tinney, E.; Burlein, C.; Touch, S.; Paton, B. L.; Carroll, S. S.; DiStefano, D. J.; Lai, M.-T.; Grobler, J. A.; Sanchez, R. I.; Williams, T. M.; Vacca, J. P.; Nantermet, P. G. *ChemMedChem* **2011**, *6*, 253–257.

(38) Jones, K. L. G.; Holloway, M. K.; Su, H.-P.; Carroll, S. S.; Burlein, C.; Touch, S.; DiStefano, D. J.; Sanchez, R. I.; Williams, T. M.; Vacca, J. P.; Coburn, C. A. *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* **2010**, *20*, 4065–4068.

(39) Stranix, B. R.; Lavallée, J.-F.; Sévigny, G.; Yelle, J.; Perron, V.; LeBerre, N.; Herbart, D.; Wu, J. J. *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* **2006**, *16*, 3459–3462.

- (40) Armstrong, A.; Ferguson, A. Beilstein J. Org. Chem. 2012, 8, 1747–1752.
- (41) Wilcke, D.; Herdtweck, E.; Bach, T. Chem. Asian J. 2012, 7, 1372–1382.

(42) Wang, B.; Nack, W. A.; He, G.; Zhang, S.-Y.; Chen, G. Chem. Sci. 2014, 5, 3952–3957.

(43) He, J.; Li, S.; Deng, Y.; Fu, H.; Laforteza, B. N.; Spangler, J. E.; Homs, A.; Yu, J.-Q. *Science* **2014**, *343*, 1216–1220.

(44) Tran, L. D.; Daugulis, O. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2012, 51, 5188-5191.

(45) Reddy, B. V. S.; Reddy, L. R.; Corey, E. J. Org. Lett. 2006, 8, 3391-3394.

(46) Wang, J.; Zhou, S.; Lin, D.; Ding, X.; Jiang, H.; Liu, H. Chem. Commun. 2011, 47, 8355–8357.

(47) Lin, J.; Liao, S.; Hruby, V. J. J. Pept. Res. 2005, 65, 105-112.

(48) Valdez, S. C.; Leighton, J. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2009, 131, 14638-14639.

(49) Molinaro, C.; Scott, J. P.; Shevlin, M.; Wise, C.; Ménard, A.; Gibb, A.; Junker, E. M.; Lieberman, D. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2015, 137, 999–1006.

(50) Sui, Y.; Liu, L.; Zhao, J.-L.; Wang, D.; Chen, Y.-J. Tetrahedron 2007, 63, 5173–5183.

(51) Zheng, B.-H.; Ding, C.-H.; Hou, X.-L.; Dai, L.-X. Org. Lett. 2010, 12, 1688–1691.

(52) Tietze, L. F. Chem. Rev. 1996, 96, 115-136.

(53) Wang, Z. Comprehensive Organic Name Reactions and Reagents; John Wiley: Hoboken, NJ, 2010; pp 997–1000.

(54) Salami-Ranjbaran, E.; Khosropour, A. R.; Mohammadpoor-Baltork, I. *Tetrahedron* **2014**, *70*, 9268–9273.

(55) Halimehjani, A. Z.; Khoshdoun, M. J. Org. Chem. 2016, 81, 5699-5704.

(56) Blanco-Lomas, M.; Funes-Ardoiz, I.; Campos, P. J.; Sampedro, D. *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* **2013**, 2013, 6611–6618.

(57) For the order of protic acid strength, see: (a) Raamat, E.; Kaupmees, K.; Ovsjannikov, G.; Trummal, A.; Kütt, A.; Saame, J.; Koppel, I.; Kaljurand, I.; Lipping, L.; Rodima, T.; Pihl, V.; Koppel, I. A.; Leito, I. J. Phys. Org. Chem. 2013, 26, 162–170. (b) Pansuriya, A. M.; Savant, M. M.; Bhuva, C. V.; Singh, J.; Naliapara, Y. T. ARKIVOC 2009, 79–85. (c) Milne, J. B.; Parker, T. J. J. Solution Chem. 1981, 10, 479–487. (d) Chen, L.-Y.; Guillarme, S.; Saluzzo, C. ARKIVOC 2013, 227–244. (e) Eckert, F.; Leito, I.; Kaljurand, I.; Kütt, A.; Klamt, A.; Diedenhofen, M. J. Comput. Chem. 2009, 30, 799–810.

(58) Niharika, P.; Ramulu, B. V.; Satyanarayana, G. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2014, 12, 4347–4360.

(59) Bandini, M. General Aspects and Historical Background. In *Catalytic Asymmetric Friedel-Crafts Alkylations*; Bandini, M., Umani-Ronchi, A., Eds.; Wiley-VCH: Weinheim, Germany, 2009; p 8.

(60) Carey, F. A.; Sundberg, R. J. Aromatic Substitution. In Advanced Organic Chemistry, Part A: Structure and Mechanisms, 3rd ed.; Plenum Press: New York, 1990; p 570.

(61) Filler, R.; Rao, Y. S. J. Org. Chem. 1962, 27, 2403-2406.

⁽⁶²⁾ Sammis, G. M.; Jacobsen, E. N. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2003, 125, 4442–4443.

- (63) Blay, G.; Muñoz, M. C.; Pedro, J. R.; Sanz-Marco, A. Adv. Synth. Catal. 2013, 355, 1071–1076.
- (64) Cativiela, C.; López, P.; Lasa, M. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2004, 2004, 3898–3908.
- (65) Tourwé, D.; Mannekens, E.; Diem, T. N. T.; Verheyden, P.; Jaspers, H.; Tóth, G.; Péter, A.; Kertész, I.; Török, G.; Chung, N. N.;

Schiller, P. W. J. Med. Chem. 1998, 41, 5167-5176.

- (66) Sobota, P.; Mustafa, M. O.; Utko, J.; Lis, T. J. Organomet. Chem. 1989, 368, 257–262.
- (67) Akiyama, T. Chem. Rev. 2007, 107, 5744-5758.
- (68) Cleary, T.; Rawalpally, T.; Kennedy, N.; Chavez, F. Tetrahedron Lett. **2010**, *51*, 1533–1536.
- (69) Trost, B. M.; Morris, P. J.; Sprague, S. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2012, 134, 17823–17831.
- (70) Worayuthakarn, R.; Thasana, N.; Ruchirawat, S. Org. Lett. 2006, 8, 5845–5848.
- (71) Jursic, B. S.; Sagiraju, S.; Ancalade, D. K.; Clark, T.; Stevens, E. D. Synth. Commun. 2007, 37, 1709–1714.
- (72) Conway, P. A.; Devine, K.; Paradisi, F. *Tetrahedron* 2009, 65, 2935–2938.
- (73) Chandrasekhar, S.; Karri, P. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 2007, 48, 785–786.
- (74) Takahashi, M.; Suzuki, N.; Ishikawa, T. J. Org. Chem. 2013, 78, 3250–3261.